TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

BOARD MEETING

Nyberg Meeting Room, Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

December 6, 2022

7:00 p.m. - 9:42 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Loretta Taylor, Chairperson

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairperson

Nora Hildinger, Member

Steven Kessler, Member

Jeffrey Rothfeder, Member

Peter McKinley, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, Town Planner

Michael Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney

Unidentified Male, Consultant

1	December 6, 2022
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
4	flag of the United States of America and to the
5	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
6	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
7	all.
8	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
9	MS. NORA HILDINGER: Here.
10	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
11	MR. JEFFREY ROTHFEDER: Here.
12	MR. KEHOE: MR. Kessler?
13	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Here.
14	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Taylor?
15	MS. LORETTA TAYLOR: Here.
16	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
17	MR. THOMAS A. BIANCHI: Here.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Foley noted as absent,
19	Ms. Decker noted as absent, and I believe Peter
20	McKinley is joining us via Zoom. Can you hear me
21	Peter? There's a mute symbol. Alright. Can you
22	hear me Peter? No?
23	MR. PETER MCKINLEY: [unintelligible]
24	[00:00:44]

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. KEHOE: Alright. So we heard him,
3	we'll work on the audio, but he did acknowledge
4	that he's here.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. There is a change to
6	the agenda. We will be adding PB 22-19, the
7	Verizon cell tower at Montrose Station Road and
8	then there is another addition, PB 2020-19, which
9	is a request for medications to a storage
10	facility, can I get a motion please?
11	MR. KESSLER: So moved.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
13	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question?
15	All in favor?
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright.
18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Before we go any
19	further, Peter, can you say something, just so we
20	can make sure the audio is working? Peter, can
21	you try again?
22	MR. MCKINLEY: Yes, can you hear me?
23	MR. CUNNINGHAM: We can hear you now.
24	MR. MCKINLEY: Okay. So, I couldn't hear

December 6, 2022

2

much of what Loretta was speaking about, but I'm

3

back to listening now.

5

4

few medications to the agenda, which were talked

6

at the work session, adding Arlo Lane case is the

7

last item under correspondence.

8

MR. MCKINLEY: Thank you. I have a copy.

MR. KEHOE: Okay. It was just to make a

9

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Before we begin this

10

tonight, I want to announce that we are losing a

11

board member, Peter, Bob Foley, who is not here

12

tonight, but who is ill and his wife, also, well

13

not tonight, but today had surgery. So he

14

wouldn't have been here anyway. Can't hear me

15

still?

16

again? One of the board members is leaving the

17 18

board, Bob Foley, he is not here tonight because

Oh, okay. Maybe, okay, should I start

19

he is very ill. His wife also went, excuse me,

20 21 underwent surgery today, and he might not have

been here anyway. So, but before we do begin the

22

session tonight, I want to take a moment to

2.3

acknowledge his contributions to our board. And

24

so I will read into the minutes what I wrote to

December 6, 2022

him a few days ago.

Dear Bob, as we both approach the final days of our service to the planning board, I want to take a moment to thank you on behalf of the board for you many hears of dedication to our mission to provide guidance for and oversight of the many applications the board receives in Cortlandt. I cannot begin to recount the many hours you have worked, the numerous questions you have asked and the tiresome tours we have all taken in search of information we needed to make decisions on a given application. You are well respective for your persistence in the many matters we have had to deal with in our service

On a personal level, I want to thank you for your support of me and your kindness always, even when we did not agree on a particular matter. Thankfully, that did not occur often. I wish you, excuse me, I want to wish you a wonderful post board time in your life, a time of peaceful reflection and the opportunity to make easier decisions. Decisions which make you smile.

to the residents of Cortlandt.

2.3

December 6, 2022

Please take care. It has been a pleasure to serve with you. Loretta Taylor, Chair. And yes?

[applause]

MR. BIANCHI: I'd like to also, as
Loretta mentioned, tonight's her last night and
I'd like to say just a few words to thank her for
the many years of service that she has given to
the town of Cortlandt and this planning board. As
you may know, Loretta has served this planning
board for 32 years and was chairperson for 12 of
those years. This is an extraordinary achievement
and exemplifies her commitment to help make the
town of Cortlandt the great community it is.
Loretta's timely, thoughtful and probative
questions and comments were invaluable in guiding
the planning board to make many, many difficult
decisions.

On behalf of the planning board members, and including Bob, who talked to me today and said he wanted to make sure that he also echoed these comments, could not be here tonight, but I thank Loretta for her excellent service and wish her well in her future. Loretta, we'll miss you.

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: I'll miss you too. Thank you. [applause]

MR. KESSLER: I can't resist not adding my two cents here. First, let me start with Bob. I don't think there is a person, a member of this planning board, that has, past or present, who has shown such dedication to the town. From his days, his early days when Cortlandt launched to his 25 years here on the planning board. Bob always did his homework, reads all the piles of materials that we get, he even reads the minutes. [laughter] His thoroughness on every application is so evidence, you know, he does not hesitate to reach out to the public, the county, the school boards, and no one is immune from getting calls from Bob at any hour of the day or night.

I, I hope someone on this board takes up his gauntlet here in terms of his concerns about the history of the town, traffic in the town as well as the historical nature of the town. And, you know, and the, you know, Bob, I'm sorry you're not here. I wish you all the best. I loved working with you all these years. I've been here

2.3

December 6, 2022

now 33 years, so I've been with him all along,
and if I've said anything inappropriate, you
know, please correct it in the minutes.

[laughter]

As for Loretta, what can I say, you know, she's proven to her, to all of us to be an exemplary member of this board, you know, with her 33 years, and as Tom mentioned, 13 years as its chairperson. You know, her dedication, you know, her preparation, her hard work is always on display. She is even handed to both the applicants and the public at all times. And her no-nonsense approach to running these meetings is just so admirable. You know, it's been a pleasure to call you a colleague all these years. And I want to thank you and we wish you all the best in whatever path you choose in the future. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you so very much. Thank you. Thank you all. [applause]

MR. ROTHFEDER: Let me just add on thing, Loretta, please. So I obviously agree with my colleagues and we're going to lose a lot of

2.3

institutional knowledge and a lot of passion for the kind of work we have to do to make decisions and both Bob and Loretta exhibited that constantly worked really hard to be fair to the community, showed their love for the community and the passion and, and the so many years of public service, you know, they showed that they're willing to give back. We're all going to miss them and we're going to miss the knowledge that they brought to every meeting and your leadership, Loretta, and, and we wish them well in their next endeavors. [applause]

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you so much. Thank you, Jeff. [applause]

MR. KEHOE: I would just like to mention that the town board will be honoring both Loretta and Bob at their town board meeting on Tuesday, December 13th, and it'll obviously be televised, so thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you so much.

Thank all of you, and while I still have a bit of your warm wishes, I just want to say that tonight, I will probably be leaving earlier than

1	December 6, 2022
2	the board will, than the meeting will finish. So,
3	anyway, I just want to thank you all for, you
4	know, being good citizens of Cortlandt and
5	worrying and being concerned about your, your
6	neighborhoods and your community. And sometimes
7	it makes our lives a little more difficult than
8	we would like, but that's not your problem.
9	I want to thank everybody once again for
10	your warmth and your acceptance, and we can get
11	on with the meeting at this point. Okay. The
12	first area in the agenda is our correspondence.
13	MR. KEHOE: The minutes, sorry.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Oh, you know, these minutes
15	I can't remember them. Okay. Can I get somebody
16	to
17	MR. KESSLER: So moved.
18	MS. TAYLOR: moved, yeah this
19	November, the meeting on November 1st.
20	MR. KESSLER: I move, I move we adopt
21	the minutes from November 1st.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Very good, thank you so
23	much.
24	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.

	Page 1
1	Page 1 December 6, 2022
2	MS. TAYLOR: Alrightie. On the question?
3	All in favor?
4	MULTIPLE: Aye.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Good.
6	MR. MCKINLEY: Aye.
7	MR. KEHOE: That was Peter.
8	MR. MCKINLEY: That was me.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright. So, All in
10	favor? Yes?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. Great. Now,
13	the first item on our agenda is correspondence,
14	and we're dealing with PB 2020-14, November 4,
15	2022, the Cliffdale Meadow Revegetation Report,
16	the fall update.
17	MR. KESSLER: Madam Chair, I move that
18	we receive and file the report.
19	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question?
21	All in favor?
22	MULTIPLE: Aye.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Alrightie, done. The next
24	item is the lead agency designation request from

2.3

December 6, 2022

the Town Board regarding the proposed Annsville Waterfront Enhancement Zoning District.

MR. KEHOE: Just for the record, the
Town Board is contemplating some zoning changes
in the highway commercial zoning district of the
town located around Annsville Circle. As part of
the State Environmental Quality Review Act, they
have declared their intent to be lead agent,
simply on adopting the rezoning. It doesn't
approve any projects in that area, it's
introducing residential apartments or single
family homes as a permitted use in that area.
They had to circulate it. You're one of the
interested and involved agencies. So your only
action tonight would be either to object to them
being lead agent or permit them to be lead agent.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Peter, do you want to --

MR. MCKINLEY: Sure. So I would like to make a motion that we the Planning Board have no objection to the Town being named the lead agency for the Annsville rezoning project.

MR. BIANCHI: Second.

1	Page I December 6, 2022
2	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. On the question?
3	All in favor?
4	MULTIPLE: Aye.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright, and just
6	for the sake of those of you who may not have
7	heard, there is, we're giving no objection to the
8	Town Board becoming the lead agency. Alright,
9	moving along to 16, PB 16-99, a letter dated
10	November 22, 2022 from William Canavan of
11	HydroEnvironmental Services, Inc., describing
12	requested modifications to the Hollowbrook Water
13	Monitoring Protocol.
14	MR. KEHOE: Loretta, that's the one
15	that's actually been pulled from the agenda.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Was it?
17	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright.
19	MR. KEHOE: You know, they're still
20	negotiating and the water testing doesn't start
21	back up until the spring, so we're going to have
22	a staff level meeting with the consultants and
23	it'll be back either in January or February.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Alright,

24

1	December 6, 2022
2	excellent. Jeff, this is, we're going to do the
3	adoption of the planning board meeting schedule.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, I move that we
5	approve the 2023 planning board meeting schedule.
6	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Alright.
8	MR. BIANCHI: On the question, the first
9	meeting is January 10th?
10	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, some of the drafts
11	floating around might show it as January 3rd, but
12	we agreed to change it to January 10th. The other
13	thing to note, which has become more commonplace
14	recently, is most of the meetings will hold the
15	work session and the regular meeting on the same
16	night. We had done that for years, and then
17	recently we had been separating them, but we're
18	going back to one meeting.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. On the question, all
20	in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright. So that's
23	done. The final item under correspondence is a
24	letter dated November 29, 2022 from Ron Hoina.

2.3

requesting minor modifications to a storage facility at 23 Arlo Lane.

MR. KEHOE: We discussed this at work session, I don't believe any issues with it. You approved what we believed was going to be several small self-storage units in this building on Arlo Lane and when it went for a building permit, it had changed a little bit to show really just big, two big areas and the code enforcement office wanted you to look at it again. We discussed at the work session half of it is sort of traditional storage, I think for dry goods and clothing and things like that and the other half is to be used by the owner, and I think in the letter it said machinery, water heaters, things like that, so staff is okay with it.

MS. TAYLOR: Is the owner --

MR. KEHOE: Mr. Hoina is the architect. He's on the Zoom call if you need to speak with him.

MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, I have one quick question, I don't know, did you have something that you wanted to ask?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. ROTHFEDER: No, I didn't.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. For the tenant, excuse me, for the owner's space, he's got that he's going to do water heaters, does this mean vacuum pumps, sump pumps, HVAC units, there will be no shelving or stacking. Uh, how do, how do you store this stuff?

MR. RON HOINA: Hi, this is Ron Hoina. I'm the architect. It, it's, it's, the purpose of that note is so that you understand it won't be stacked vertically, there won't be any dense storage. He's going to store some of his equipment, his materials there that they use for their business. So water heaters come in, they come in five and six at a time, and they'll be stored just in boxes, on the ground, you know, like they would be installed in their ultimate location. They'll be vertical, just standing up. The same with the other equipment, pumps come in boxes, they could come on a pallet, but they won't be stacked multiple pallets, it would just be low, low density storage.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. If that'll work for

2.3

you, I guess. It just sort of seems strange that you have a storage area and you don't have any shelving or stacking, it just seems a little strange. Okay.

MR. BIANCHI: Madam Chair, I move that we approve this requested change by this motion on the modifications to the storage area.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. On the question?
All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. We're moving now to the adjourned public hearing. It is PB 2022-4, the application of the Gurdjieff Foundation of New York for the property of the Danish Home for the Aged, Inc., for site plan approval and a special permit for a nonschool curriculum program use of the property located at 1065 Quaker Bridge Road East, latest drawings, November 21, 2022.

MR. TOM MANNING: Thank you, good evening. I'm Tom Manning. I'm one of the trustees of the Gurdjieff Foundation of New York. With me

December 6, 2022

here tonight, Joe Giannola and Diane Delvecchio are also trustees of the Foundation. I wanted to thank the Board for hearing our application.

We've, some of us have met and I know some of you have visited the Danish Home a while back.

It's, on this occasion, I had similar positions that I retired from several years ago in a much, much smaller municipality and I'm very appreciative of the work that goes into the service that both Chairperson Taylor and Mr. Foley have provided. Thank you for that.

We're happy to see also that there are some of our, the neighbors that live in the vicinity of the Danish Home here. We've had over the past months, opportunity to speak with them. We've had meetings with them, we've had some phone conversations, we've had some email exchanges, and we've been listening to the concerns and ideas that they've brought in those contacts, and we've tried to include a number of those in our submission to the board.

We, we came upon the Danish Home, we had a property in Sullivan County, and we realized

2.3

December 6, 2022

that it was time to look for a property closer to New York City and the Danish Home when it came on the market was clearly a property that's well suited to our needs. And we're hoping that, we're looking forward to being, being there and being part of the community here.

And I will let our planning, Matt
Steinberg give you the details of our submission,
fill out what may be in between the lines of what
we've submitted so far. Thank you.

MR. MATT STEINBERG: Good evening, Matt
Steinberg with the firm DTS Provident. I'm just
going to briefly go through our latest submission
that we've submitted to the board this past
November. We previously appeared before this
board in March and in May, and since that time,
the Foundation has had the opportunity to meet
with a number of the nearby neighbors and the
abutting property owners, and have some
discussions, which I believe have been
productive.

As Tom mentioned, the applicant, they intend to use the existing facility and grounds,

22

2.3

24

December 6, 2022

2 generally as is, with no proposed site disturbances. What Chris has brought up is the 3 site lighting plan that we submitted most 4 5 recently and the Foundation has taken a look at the lighting, because they were asked to do so, 6 7 and identified a number of locations where there are existing floodlights and they intend to 8 9 convert those to more of a down light style 10 fixture. And based on their needs, you can see 11 that they're located along the main driveway, up 12 at the questhouse, adjacent to the existing paved 13 parking area, and down by the garage and barn. 14 They don't, they don't feel like they'll need the 15 floodlights so they've picked a fixture or 16 similar that would focus light downward. It would be, it would meet the standards of the Dark Sky 17 18 Association, which essentially shields it from, 19 from uplight and any light trespass beyond the 20 area that the light is intended to throw light 21 upon.

They've also, in discussions with the adjacent property owners, discussed future plans, and even though they have no future knowledge of

2.3

December 6, 2022

what they would want to do, they've, they've made a commitment that any new construction or additions would be limited to about 10,000 square feet to provide them with a better configuration of meeting space. But there's no, there's no current plans, so that's just a potential thought, but they wanted to express that to the neighbors.

That location would be to the north and west of the existing structure, which on the site is about 900 feet from the adjacent property line to the south, about 200 feet to the west, sorry to the east rather, and then a significant distance to the west, to the aqueduct parcel.

Chris, if you could switch to the next slide. So, there's also been some discussion on preservation of the land under the proposed use. As illustrated on this drawing, the applicant proposes a couple of mechanisms to protect, to preserve the land. And I'm actually going to ask Ken Stenger, the, their attorney, their land use counsel, to, to step up and just describe briefly the tools that they intend to use to preserve

December 6, 2022

2

that land.

3

MR. KEN STENGER: Thank you. Thank you.

4 5

Chairperson. So what we're going to do here, or

It's a pleasure to be here this evening, Madam

6

what we proposed do to, is you see the blue

7

check, you see the blue check, kind of like a

8

buffer that runs up and down and into the right

9

is a larger green parcel. The concept here is

10

very important. It's very important to understand

11

the subtlety of how we want to manage this. The

12

blue check is something that we would impose a

13

declaration on our land that would run with the

14

land forever. Nobody would have an opportunity or

15

an ability to remove it, modify it. Once done, it's there forever. It's what we call it is a

16 17

non-disturbance declaration.

18

19 same thing, but this is pretty important, that

20

distinction. A declaration is a self-enforcing

21

easement where you give the power to, you declare

Lawyers have a million names for the

22

it, so now it's forever, it can never be changed,

2.3

disturbed. The enforcement mechanism we propose

it can never be built in, it can never be

24

2.3

December 6, 2022

would be to allow the town to have the right to enforce the declaration if it chose to do so, and if it was required to do so, that it would do so at the expense of the landowner, I mean the landowner. If it's not Gurdjieff, if it's somebody else afterwards, it will be there forever, no one will ever be able to take it away or modify it. That's what a declaration does.

It doesn't involve any third, it doesn't involve giving an easement to some third party, it involves declaring it forever. And that's what we propose to do with that piece there.

Now, the part that's green, the larger parcel, and the thing to keep in mind is that when we propose a declaration, we propose forever, that land will be bound no matter who owns it when we go to -- if and when it ever goes to market, it'll go subject to that declaration.

The larger piece is also subject to our agreement, well, our desire, our consent, our proposal that as a condition of the special use permit that that land, that area of land shown remain undisturbed as a condition of the special

2.3

December 6, 2022

use permit, which would give the town and this board full enforcement authority over that particular condition. And the, and the, the only difference really between the two approaches, and I've read some correspondence that came in to my desk, over my desk today, and there was a discussion in one letter of a potential easement that would terminate if and when the special use permit was no longer in force or effect.

And it's the same concept. Except mine's a little, I think, a little less heavier. This board, we, our application submitted to you asked you to do this, can create a condition on the special use permit that that area show there remain without disturbance. And that it remain so for the entirety for the life of the special use permit was either abandoned or no longer in force or effect that restriction on those acres would no longer exist because the use would no longer exist. And so that portion of the property would be free to be looked at again if and when the special use permit is no longer in force or effect.

2.3

24

1

You know, so, so there's a distinction. But I think we give, I'm hoping that what we came up with satisfies anyone's concern about what that's going to look like. The buffer is forever. It never gets changed, nobody can change it. You can't -- and the town will have the opportunity to enforce the buffer in our proposed declaration if the town wants to do so. They don't have an obligation but the town can and will have the opportunity to do it. That is quite frankly the model I try to use wherever we try to do something like this, because we find that letting the town look at it, you just have a more universal point of view as to what needs to be done there to protect that land.

And so, that we did. And we have done a very similar thing with the green section but that being that that restriction would end when the special use permit ended, which would mean my client's current use would end as well. So I just wanted to make those points very clear. I know that this is a concern for people that live around there. We're hoping that we've address

2.3

those concerned and in fact, we hope that what we propose makes people feel comfortable with what we're trying to do there, because that is why we put that buffer in there, to, to respect our neighbors and to assure them that not only do we bring this project to the table, anybody whoever buys that land will never be able to change that buffer, that blue buffer will always remain undisturbed. Thank you. If there's any questions, you can ask me whenever you wish, but thank you.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Wait one second. I have a question. So, and when you say non-disturbance, nothing is going to be done to that land?

MR. STENGER: No.

MR. ROTHFEDER: At all. Now, do you expect that it'll be used at all though as, potentially as recreationally or trails?

MR. STENGER: We're not proposing that.

And I do want to say when you make a nondisturbance area, you make, you make provision
for general arboreal maintenance, so dead trees
may come out of there, but otherwise, nothing.

MR. ROTHFEDER: So that, one question

1	December 6, 2022
2	before you answer the rest. Who's going to be
3	maintaining that?
4	MR. STENGER: We would be maintaining
5	that. Whoever owns it
6	MR. ROTHFEDER: So you would be
7	responsible to maintain it?
8	MR. STENGER: Yes, whoever owns it would
9	be responsible for it.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay.
11	MR. STENGER: Creating trails it was a
12	step further on the liability scale that I really
13	didn't think, I couldn't get there yet, I
14	couldn't get there.
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: Couldn't get there?
16	MR. STENGER: Well, there was a
17	liability issue that I wasn't sure exactly how to
18	address.
19	MR. ROTHFEDER: So you're not going to
20	let people on the property? I mean that follows
21	from that.
22	MR. STENGER: No, I don't, I don't want
23	to say that because I think you're going to hear
24	that there's programs in which people will be.

	Dago 2
1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: No, I mean, people, the
3	public.
4	MR. STENGER: They will be the public.
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: Well, no
6	MR. STENGER: I will let them speak to -
7	_
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: someone that's not
9	coming for a Gurdjieff program.
10	MR. STENGER: In this area, in this area
11	we've described, we have no plans for creating
12	hiking trails or inviting people to walk through
13	those woods. There are liability issues that are
14	attendant with that, that we've considered
15	carefully and I just couldn't find a way there.
16	It doesn't, I couldn't find a way there. And
17	quite frankly, it was that, it was that kind of
18	balancing of what we can do and what we can't do
19	here that resulted in that buffer. That buffer
20	has got significant value to the neighbors, to
21	the people who live there.
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: Oh, you mean in terms of
23	being a buffer, as a buffer?
24	MR. STENGER: Yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah.

1 December 6, 2022 2 MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah. MR. STENGER: I mean that's a big deal, 3 4 because, you know, you may, there may be another 5 developer someday who comes in and says no, we want to, we want to build housing there. 6 7 MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. And that buffer 8 will still exist, yeah. 9 MR. STENGER: And that buffer is there. 10 They can't, they can't put a road through it, 11 they can't put a driveway through it. 12 MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. 13 MR. STENGER: They can't do anything 14 with it. 15 MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. So again, just to 16 make clear. I understand the liability issues. 17 So, you're going to actually prohibit the public 18 from coming there, and I'm not saying that in 19 some kind of punitive fashion, but that 20 [unintelligible] [00:32:45]. 21 MR. STENGER: I think the best way, Mr. 22 Rothfeder for me to say is we're not going to

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

create walking paths in those areas. That I think

is the, the cleanest and narrowest way for me to

2.3

24

1	December 6, 2022
2	say what will happen in that area. I'm not here
3	to speak as to the other areas, which I think you
4	will hear about programs
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.
6	MR. STENGER: and functions that will
7	be designed to invite the general public. So
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: Basically, there's going
9	to be no trespassing on that property?
10	MR. STENGER: No, I, well no, I would
11	suspect not, but I don't suspect anyone is going
12	to be out there watching the fences to see who
13	walks through it either.
14	MR. ROTHFEDER: But officially.
15	MR. STENGER: There's a difference
16	between
17	MR. KESSLER: No electric fences is what
18	you're saying?
19	MR. STENGER: Correct. Correct. I mean
20	there's a difference between, it's funny right,
21	because there is a statute in the general
22	obligations law of when you hold your lands open,
23	you can, you can, you have some insulation for,
24	from the liability issue, but if you build hiking

1	December 6, 2022
2	trails, then you incur the liability because
3	you've created the facility.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.
5	MR. STENGER: And the you're, it's up to
6	you to maintain it, and then if you don't
7	maintain and somebody falls and breaks an ankle
8	then there's a lawsuit, so, which is where I come
9	in, but not on both sides of the deal. But that's
10	what we're looking at. We're not
11	MR. ROTHFEDER: And so again, the
12	special permit would only end obviously if you
13	guys stopped doing the programs you're doing, and
14	don't want to renew the special permit or if you
15	sell the property, right?
16	MR. STENGER: Correct.
17	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, okay.
18	MR. STENGER: We can't function without
19	the special use permit and if we are operating
20	under the special use permit, it's our idea to
21	make a condition of that special use permit to be
22	that restriction on that green portion.
23	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.
24	MR. STENGER: That non-disturbance

1 December 6, 2022 2 approach to that. So that folks who live around that will always see woods. 3 4 MR. ROTHFEDER: Mm-hmm. MR. STENGER: As long as we're there, 5 they'll always see woods. And because we're 6 7 there, they will always have that buffer no matter who is there, and that's a big deal I 8 9 think. 10 MR. KESSLER: So my question is for Mike 11 I guess. In what he's describing here for this 12 non-disturbance declaration for this bugger, is that consistent with our definitions? 13 14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm fine with it being a declaration rather than conservation easement. 15 16 I don't see a real legal issue with that. 17 MR. KESSLER: And that's within the 18 framework of how we define things in the town? 19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Yes. I believe we 20 actually did something similar on the Milau 21 [phonetic] project, which ended up being a

conservation easement.

22

2.3

24

conservation area that they declared rather than

having an outside organization come in and have a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KESSLER: Okay. Thanks.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay, thank you.

MR. STENGER: Thank you very much.

MR. STEINBERG: I apologize. I probably should have describes the areas a little bit first. So real briefly, the blue area that Ken was speaking about, that is about approximately three acres in size, it runs about 1,200 feet in length along that southern property boundary and it's about 100 feet deep at its, at its minimum. The green area is approximately 19 acres in size, and in relationship, the property itself is 48 acres, so it's not quite half, but it's a significant amount. That, that runs along the entire length, or essentially the balance beyond the blue from the south to the north along the entire Old Croton Aqueduct parcel and obviously that's a significant state and local resource, so the intention is obviously as part of this special permit to preserve that not only as woods and habitat but also as a view shed for the neighbors and anyone who enjoys the aqueduct trail.

2.3

MR. ROTHFEDER: What kind of shape is it in now? Do you know, that part of the property?

MR. STEINBERG: The part of the property, I mean, there's a number -- it's obviously very wooded, there are some steep slopes on that property. Otherwise, the Danish Home really hasn't --

MR. ROTHFEDER: Are the trees in good condition or, are a lot of invasives there? Could you [unintelligible] [00:36:52]?

MR. STEINBERG: You know, we have not done a study on that one, and, you know, the Foundation may, as part of what Ken described, want to take a look to see if there's anything that could hurt the natural environment for other species. That would be part of that maintenance activity. Obviously, the intention would not to be to remove anything that is native or at least non-invasive, even if it's not native.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.

MR. STEINBERG: But if there's something that, you know, was going to cause harm to other parts of the habitat, then that would be

1 December 6, 2022 2 something that would be part of maintenance. MR. ROTHFEDER: I think it would be a 3 4 good idea to get a baseline, of where, you know, 5 what kind of shape it's in now, so when you're 6 look at the preservation and the maintenance, you 7 know, we can compare back against something, or even to talk about what needs to be done to it. 8 9 MR. STEINBERG: Sure, no, that's 10 understandable. 11 MR. KEHOE: It may not be called a 12 conservation easement, but depending on how it 13 goes, you may want to develop a monitoring 14 protocol like we do on other things, have the 15 applicant fund a relatively small escrow account 16 for our consultant to go out and take a look at 17 it. 18 MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, that's what I was 19 thinking. 20 MR. STEINBERG: Right. As Ken described 21 it, how the legal term is different from what 22 your condition obviously could be. 2.3 MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. 24 MR. STEINBERG: So Ken alluded to, so

1

2 the foundation, we had submitted in the packet and we had submitted earlier and did some 3 revisions and we -- there's some discrepancies 4 5 that we heard from so they'll -- we can update that as well. But the -- we submitted a sample 6 7 annual calendar, which, you know, was their, their estimate of the types and frequency of 8 9 programs based on their experience at their other 10 facilities and what their membership is looking 11 to do at this particular location. So as part of 12 those programs, what they would like to do is 13 have one or two workshops annually that members 14 of the public may be invited to and that would 15 be, you know, select members and that would all 16 fit within that same attendance range, so if 17 there's a range on one of those programs that has 18 a certain number, any members of the public would 19 fit in that range, it wouldn't, you know, they 20 would work that out. And that those programs may 21 include when they invite the public programs that 22 support an awareness and appreciation for the 2.3 natural environment, and, you know, really 24 enjoying the property for the reason why the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Foundation wants it and why it's important to create this area so that those, those particular members of the public, you know, would have the access that I think Ken was talking about, you know, not just necessarily the public at large.

That said, you know, there's no proposal to, to put any fencing on the property or around, around the boundary.

In terms of the programs that the applicant has described, we submitted a full traffic study for the project based on those programs at the request of this board's traffic consultant. We analyzed the traffic and parking at a very conservative way. We didn't, without factoring any carpooling or train ridership. That was their request, so that's what we prepared. The result of the analysis showed that at area intersections, the level of service would remain at A, which is a satisfactory, very satisfactory level of service. However, based on the discussions with the neighbors, the Foundation has proposed to implement a number of measures to further reduce the vehicles entering and exiting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

the site. That's, we described it further in our submission, but I'll just briefly touch upon them. It includes limiting parking on the site to 50 vehicle from the majority of events, to set up carpooling for programs with more than 30 participants, to use a van when activities would have more than 75 participants. And kind of in combination with this, both of those measures to encourage the use of Metro North and the Croton Train Station in conjunction with the carpooling and the van, so if they set up a van, then obviously, it would, you know, they would try to, they would schedule it so that enough people show up and then the van isn't obviously making too many trips to receive folks.

There's no staff proposed. I think we described in an earlier presentation that the members of the foundation try to do as much maintenance on the site as they can do during their programs. Otherwise, you know, they would hire a local contractor to do select items. There may be a caretaker who would reside on the property for the majority of the, essentially,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

December 6, 2022

you know, if you look at the calendar, you know, the programs take up about a third of the days on the calendar. So two-thirds of the time, the only folks coming and going might be the caretaker or their family. Otherwise, the programs, the majority of programs have less than 20 attendees. There's less than two dozen that have 20 to 75 attendees, and then those are the points where they would have their members, when they're planning a program, they would incorporate a transportation coordinator, just like they would incorporate somebody who plans what programs they're going to have during that weekend or week, what food they're going to need to buy to feed folks. They would have a transportation coordinator to help coordinate that carpooling and van usage.

So that's essentially an update of what we had submitted. I'm happy to answer any other questions. Otherwise, I know you, this is a public hearing.

MR. BIANCHI: I just have a question on the parking. If I'm reading this correctly, it

December 6, 2022

2

says that the capacity for onsite parking is 135.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. STEINBERG: Correct. So we had

looked, based on similar to the traffic, you know, a conservative approach to look at what Danish Home had been historically using for parking and that's a combination of the existing paved parking spaces, which are located on up at the main home, the main building and then down at the garage barn area, and then additional overflow parking along the roadway, the internal roadway between the barn and the main house. And then down in the grass lawn area by the barn and the garage itself.

So similar to traffic because we were taking a conservative approach to show that should no carpooling or van transit be utilized and their biggest program had that many cars, they could be accommodated on site, they wouldn't spill over onto adjoining neighbors. I know that is obviously a bigger deal when it's a smaller site. This is a very, very large property. But we did this exercise to show that they could be reasonable accommodated, they wouldn't be all

2.3

over the property, they wouldn't be out on the lawn, they wouldn't be surrounding the building. But that essentially, that's what it was, it was an exercise, but the Foundation itself has described how they intend to handle the parking and staff.

MR. BIANCHI: You have a number of events that are 50 to 100 people during the days, weekends and during conferences. And you committed to utilizing a van for more than 75 participants, events with more than 75 participants?

MR. STEINBERG: That's what the Foundation has agreed to.

MR. BRESSNER: So, I guess the question is how do we enforce that? How do we know that that's occurring? Is there a way that we can monitor that?

MR. KEHOE: Well, similar to other discussions, if it's ever approved, it's a five-year special permit. Maybe it could be similar to the yeshiva, we send the fire inspector out to the yeshiva every year to do an internal

IANCHI: Yeah. EHOE: So we would send the code there, and then maybe they have to
EHOE: So we would send the code there, and then maybe they have to
there, and then maybe they have to
-
r the first year to update the
IANCHI: But couldn't we also have
cars for their events and report
EHOE: Yeah, you have that latitude
ial permit to require some of
IANCHI: Yeah, periodically, that's
th Brookfield and everything else,
ck on activity and impacts. So what
asically is to have you provide to
l basis maybe, I don't know what
is, but a report that indicates
TEINBERG: Yeah, I think that
IANCHI: parking activity, the
ty.
TEINBERG: Sure.
IANCHI: The parking

2.3

MR. STEINBERG: Sure. That could be, they could incorporate it into their programs that they would, they would keep track of the number of cars that were coming and going and how many were parked on the site, certainly.

MR. BIANCHI: I'm concerned that if 135 cars are going in and out of the site, that's a lot of traffic.

MR. STEINBERG: No, and that was, that was --

MR. BIANCHI: And that was the objective is not to increase the traffic flow at this facility.

MR. STEINBERG: Right. And that's the foundation's objective as well, so. Thank you very much.

MS. TAYLOR: This is a public hearing.

If there is anyone here who has a comment for or against this application, please come up, state your name and your residence.

MR. ERIC ANDERSON: Mr. Rothfeder, I'm Eric Anderson, the executive director of the Danish Home and maybe I, just before I read a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

statement here, maybe I can address your questions about the southern tier there of the property. So the home has been on the property for 70 years, and it's never been tended up there, so that's aging forest. We lost the hardwood between Sandy and another storm so really what you're looking at are what the remnants from ash blight, aging hemlocks, tremendous amount of vine. We have an outbreak of devil's walking stick, which takes more of my time than anything else out in, you know, for maintenance, aralia spinosa and then all the other multiflora rose and the rest of it. So, it's mostly low brush to clear, but that area hasn't been maintained in 70 years. I've been there 19 years, and I hadn't been back in that part of the property for, until a year ago.

MR. ROTHFEDER: That's in the buffer area you say?

MR. ANDERSON: Well, the buffer area and the green area, the blue and green.

MR. ROTHFEDER: And the green area as well?

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
3	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay.
4	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, yeah. We just, our
5	mission was taking care of our residents.
6	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.
7	MR. ANDERSON: And unless they took a
8	[unintelligible] [00:48:05], we didn't have to go
9	up there and look for them.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. So it needs work?
11	MR. ANDERSON: Pardon?
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: It needs some work?
13	MR. ANDERSON: Oh, it needs a lot of
14	work, yeah. If you were to do
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: That's good to know.
16	MR. ANDERSON: if you were to utilize
17	it, it would need work, right. So, I'm Eric
18	Anderson. I'm the executive director, board
19	member and a past board president of the Danish
20	Home for the Aged and a 35 year resident of the
21	town. 1065 Quaker Bridge Road East is zoned
22	single family residential, but has not been a
23	private residence for 75 years. After all, what

single family would need 40,000 square feet with

24

2.3

30 bedrooms. Interestingly two of our three full asking price as is, where is cash offer buyers represented themselves as such.

Thirteen months ago, the Danish Home board chose to contract with the third buyer, the Gurdjieff Foundation, because of their clarity of purpose, candor and enthusiasm for their potential use for and location of the Danish Home property. As part of our sales process, we recognize the meaningful support the town of Cortlandt provided the home in our 70 years here, by naming the town's senior services program a 35 percent beneficiary of this property's net sales proceeds, the largest single beneficiary of the sale.

Initially, almost \$1 million, as closing has been delayed, this benefit is being degraded by our running expenses at direct cost to town of Cortlandt taxpayers. The Danish Home Board has fulfilled its obligation to the community and attempted to preserve our 120 year legacy by choosing a responsible buyer and accommodating numerous delays. We're approaching expiry of the

2.3

fifth purchase contract extension with the Foundation. We know that based on the remarkable concessions agreed to with a motivated neighbor coalition, they are still anxious to obtain the property. And we are past due to leave the property, then dissolve our charity.

To those who counsel be patient a little while longer, our recent five and a half year cell tower ordeal with some of the same advocates involved here doesn't encourage any sense that being so close is actually close to any conclusion. And those delays are a direct expense to you and I as taxpayers. In this critical aspect, the home's sale is unlike Hudson Wellness Center, Sunshine Children's Home or the Danish Home cell tower, where a delay does not defer revenues, it accrues lost community fiscal support.

We ask the planning board to exercise its experience and knowledge based judgment on the special permit application without delay.

Planning and Gurdjieff have each hired professional traffic consultants. Gurdjieff and

2.3

the neighbors have negotiated and incorporated restrictive concessions significantly lower than capacity data allows. We can comment that Gurdjieff's agreed to traffic use is much less than any of our other inquiring parties who are willing to share traffic and parking projected needs.

If you're not satisfied that the Foundation is the right steward on presently negotiated terms, please decide soon. The home's board will move on, an action unlikely to benefit the neighborhood and at significant further cost to the town's taxpayers. Thank you. Do you have any questions for me? Thank you.

MR. TOM SECUNDA: Good evening, I'm Tom Secunda, 62 Teatown Road and actually I'm a fan of this proposal, with the only caveat that things have to be spelled out a little bit clearer in the special permit. I'd like the town attorney to look at the language that's being used for the green belt that they talked about, that it truly is enforceable and understood, because it's a little bit different than what you

December 6, 2022

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

usually see when people go and propose an easement of sorts. But to the extent that this concept is enforceable and correct, which includes maintenance and the other kinds of things that have to happen in a green belt, that's fine.

The other thing is in, when you look at their proposal, they talk about doing carpooling and vanning, but they don't talk about how that would affect traffic. So for instance, if you have a program of 30 people, 30 cars aren't a big deal, but if they were doing carpooling, you would expect less cars than that. So we came up with an idea of the concept of maybe for every four people coming to a thing, there would be one car, and that would take care of small events and large events, so some concept that more than just a statement I'm going to use vans, I'm going to use carpooling, something that sort of enforces the concept of carpooling. And obviously, if there's a group of ten people coming, you know, you could say ten cars we don't even think about. But as those programs get bigger, it would be

December 6, 2022

2

nice to encourage, more than encourage, to

3

enforce in some way some concept of carpooling to

4

minimize traffic.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

I understand that 50 cars doesn't sound like a lot of cars, but remember they all come within 20 minutes. Now, we have a single lane bridge that goes across the Croton River. If you're on one side and 30 cars are coming from the other, you're going to sit there for 45 minutes before you can get across the river. So again, I think some concept of, based on the size of the event, some concept of how many cars should support that size of event.

Another concept which we've talked a lot about is they said that during the week long programs there wouldn't be a lot of transport in and out, because if you have 80 people spending a night there, and everybody is coming in the morning and leaving at night, which they say is not going to happen, becomes a problem, so some kind of language about when you're having weekly quests that 80 percent of them stay on the property. Something that just make the

December 6, 2022

2

3

neighborhood comfortable with the intentions that they have set.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

So again, looking at the legal language that's there for the protected land, if that's enforceable and good and enforceable during the life of the special permit or the deed, I'm certainly in agreement to that. And some kind of rules, you know, again, they said that they're going to do carpooling, but there's no way to see if there's carpooling and no kinds of measurements on whether carpooling is working or not working. Same thing with vans, you know, I would prefer vans if there were 50 or more cars.

So again, I would like you to look at their plan. I think that the spirit of what

I don't want to be nitpicking.

18

15

16

17

they're offering is a fair spirit and I think

1920

that the organization has been very considerate

21

in working with the neighborhood. So I would love

22

some structure put around the good will that was

for this to work, but I would also like to see

2.3

written in this document. Thank you very much.

24

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Is there anyone else

December 6, 2022

2

who wishes to speak at this point?

3

having us, thanks for the time. So I'm 4

5

[unintelligible] [00:55:38] Myard, I'm the

on the, on the left, on the left corner. As

6

resident of 1059 Quaker Bridge Road, so the house

MR. MYARD: Good evening and thanks for

7

8

abutting neighbors of the Danish Home,

9

withstanding, Michelle and I, share 860 feet of

10

property line. Our house is the only one near the

11

only entrance of the Danish Home. Our backyard

12

and pool are in full view of their property.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

We strong, sorry, we stand in strong support of the application of the Gurdjieff Foundation, because we met with them multiple times, we asked them tons of questions and they provide incredibly high level of clarity to us and they are making even more progress tonight as you can see. After meeting with them multiple times, we believe that they are the best possible candidate to move in and to keep our neighborhood quiet and protected.

Over these past weeks, they listened, they took action and we could sense they also

2.3

December 6, 2022

want a quiet protected neighborhood for their own activities. It is now on the neighbors to be reasonable and to meet them halfway. This would be my take. The Danish Home is surrounded by three private residences, who presenting nearly 40 acres, it's 37 acres precisely. There's Greta Hanson, Margarite, who is with us tonight, and us.

And these three properties provide a remarkable natural buffer of hills and evergreen trees that make the Danish Home impossible to see or to hear from other residents. Even in wintertime, you just don't see it. The main building of the Danish Home, which the Foundation intends to keep is built in its very center, resulting in 40 additional acres of buffer. And I'm not even speaking for the aqueduct trail and the [unintelligible] [00:57:42] line to the north you can see here on the right hand side.

So for this reason, for all the neighbors to require like some deed, an easement and give away significant value of their property, we think that it was just

2.3

[unintelligible] [00:57:59] reasonable and we are eager to have confirmations of [unintelligible] [00:58:05] the legal ground for what they offered tonight, but we are convinced that they really offer some goodwill and that is really exceptional. We are lucky to have them tonight and to have them as future neighbors, hopefully.

Now, I'm going to try to keep these very short. The main reasonable issue that might remain to some members of the community will obviously be traffic, right. Well, as the neighbors who are the only one who will see the incoming traffic from both roads, Quaker Ridge and Quaker Bridge Roads, we will be the only house seeing the cars coming from those both, this intersection. We feel that their numbers are incredibly reasonable compared to what could possibly happen with other buyers, because we know all too well what happens to very, very large lots in North Westchester.

We did extensive research over the last few months and it is clear to us that again, we are very lucky on this front as well. Not only

2.3

are a large majority of activities expected to have 20 attendees or less, but the Foundation seems to be very willing to utilize, utilize shared transportation and we've seen progress on this every single week over the last few months.

I'll stay short on all of that, but we are afraid that if this process is prolonged any further, we run the risk of just loosing the current applicant as a buyer and we are, we have the risk of having other potential buyers who can conform easily to R-80 zoning and do many, many more things with greater concerns for everyone with very little ways to, with very little recourse. And so we are again, we believe that we are very lucky to have them tonight, and I'll keep it short. Thank you so much.

MS. MICHELLE MYARD: Good evening. My name is, my name is Michelle Myard. And I live at 1059 Quaker Bridge Road East with my husband, just next door to the Danish Home. We share 860 feet of property line. Since the last public hearing more than two months ago, on the Gurdjieff Foundation's application to purchase

2.3

the Danish Home when more than 20 neighbors expressed their support, including all abutting neighbors, the Foundation has met multiple times with the abutting residents and other members of the neighborhood. The applicant has been gracious, receptive and responsive to the concerns others expressed, working hard over these past weeks to be communicative and show goodwill and to provide more clarity on their plans.

In a supplement to their application submitted to the town on November 21st, the Foundation added a number of clarifications, a protected area of 22 acres for the duration of their ownership, less traffic, less parking on the premises than first anticipated, less added square footage than first anticipated for nearly 50 acres, minimized lighting, several commitments to share transportation, no impact on trees and no activity at all for two-thirds of the year.

And as a reminder, the rest of the time, their main activity totaling four months a year is meditation.

2.3

Finally, we who live just next door have exponentially more at stake than most, as our house is the only one built near the only entrance. Our backyard is in full view from their property and traffic considerations, which matter to everyone are therefore of the utmost importance to us.

And after meeting with the Gurdjieff
Foundation several times, with several neighbors,
after all the progress that's been made and after
considering carefully all the other likely
outcomes, my husband and I are even more
convinced than before that they are the right
buyer for us to protect the neighborhood we hold
dear. Thank you.

MR. ZACK GREENBERG: Zack Greenberg,

1047 Quaker Bridge Road East. I'm about 300 feet

from the entrance to the Danish Home. We've been

working for a few months now with the Gurdjieff

Foundation. We've had great conversations. I

appreciate what they're bringing to the table and

the adjustments that they're making to come to a

neutral ground where the neighborhood, abutting

December 6, 2022

neighbors and everyone can be satisfied.

You know, my -- I am supportive of the Gurdjieff Foundation coming in with the caveat of looking to the board to make sure that the language that is used protects us a neighborhood, protects us a nature conserve and protects us in the traffic. You know, the amount of cars when seeing those numbers is scary. But, if they do use proper use of vans, proper busing and there is a way to enforce that, I understand it and I'm supportive of it.

I don't want to be sitting on the end of the road counting cars and making sure that they follow this, and based on conversations I've had with them, I don't think they want to be worked about that either, so I think they will follow suit with what the rules allow.

The other piece that I have, would like to bring up is the, the building. The potential proposal of 10,000 square foot being added to the facility, added to the area. I'm not saying that there is an issue with that. What I'm saying is I would just like proper language to understand the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

use of that building and facility that they're going to be potentially adding. And it's not, I know it's not in their proposal now, and it's for potential future growth. But I want to make sure that their language says that it's not for certain things that don't fit the criteria of what they're, you know, suggesting, such as overnight stays within that building. You know, they already have a sizeable dormitory that holds significant amount of people. Their numbers will, you know, match. And, you know, building in 10,000 square footage, I just want to make sure that it's properly language is written around it and that it's of use for what, you know, my expectations are.

MR. KESSLER: But it's fair to say if they wanted to make that addition, it would come back to this planning board for discussion and public comment.

MR. GREENBERG: True.

MR. KESSLER: So just by saying they want to do it doesn't mean they can do it without coming back and getting an amended site plan,

December 6, 2022

coming before this board just like they are today to get an approval.

MR. GREENBERG: Understood.

MR. KESSLER: And then we'd go through the process to determine the exact uses and what -- if it's consistent with the neighborhood.

MR. GREENBERG: Mm-hmm, understood. And so I just leave that with the traffic and with the nature preserve and hope that the board can come up with the appropriate language that allows these kind people to come and, you know, be our neighbors. Okay. Thank you.

MS. MARGARITE PITTS: I'm the other abutting neighbor, Margarite Pitts, and I second the request that you all need to enforce what they commit to. And I think one of our, my problems with their proposal was I couldn't tell whether they propose or intent or commit. And I think that, that language is very important. So I would hope that they commit and you enforce in any way you see fit.

But, I do support Gurdjieff. I think they've come a long way. I think they really will

December 6, 2022

be good neighbors but I think that we need to dot a few I's and cross T's. Thank you.

2.3

MS. KAREN WELLS: Hello, my name is

Karen Wells, 28 Applebee Farm Road. As proposed,
I cannot support this project. What you have
heard is the very definition of a membership
organization. They have been very clear they have
no intention of letting the general public in.
They have applied, as an applicant, as a nature
preserve open to the public. In addition, what

they're essentially running is a private hotel.

Given this, it is important to note in their comment during the year, there may, not there will, there may be one or two workshops that invited members of the public can attend. That is the very definition of a membership organization. That is not an organization open to the public. To allow this to move forward without any substantial ability for the property to be open to the public is the very definition of arbitrary.

I also continue to have a problem with the rezoning of our residential community via

2.3

special permit. This special permit, at almost 50 acres, 48.9, plus the special permit for the Hudson Wellness property at 20.83 acres, creates an institutional zone equivalent to that of the medical use district that was created via proper legislation.

But if you are going to move forward with this, it is very important, especially in light of comments made by the Danish Home director that we follow the proper process. And the proper process is to require them to be open to the public. It is very clear, it is the title of the section. And when you're going through this process, make sure they're complying with the codes that are there to protect the public.

For example, they have indicated they will no longer operate their drinking water system as a public water system. They will treat is I do my home system. But they will be a facility open to the public, and they're in those criteria there to protect the public.

In addition, when we're looking at traffic usage, I think it's very important we all

2.3

go back and include the traffic we know that is coming online. We know that the traffic from the Sunshine Home will be coming online. We know the traffic from Hudson Wellness is expected to come online. We also know that Teatown Lake Reservation has program that already exists.

I am concerned about overlapping timeframes, overlapping days, to the point where what is now a quiet community, in three years could have substantially more traffic without any of us thinking about where those overlaps are. So yes, their project, if we can get them to comply with carpooling and vanning structures without requiring the community members to stand off property, because we're not allowed on it, to count cars as they go in, that their project alone may not contribute substantially, but with overlapping times of other things that have recently been approved and will come online will collectively create issues for our roads.

There's also the issue of their septic system. Their own expert said they could not vouch for the septic system beyond the current

2.3

December 6, 2022

residents that were at the Danish Home. Again, making sure they are submitting a septic system plan that complies with a public facility of the size they are looking at.

In addition, they're going to be operating a commercial kitchen. And one, under this permit is part of a facility open to the public. So it is important that they comply with eating and licensing criteria for a facility open to the public.

It is also important to note -- I'm sorry, what was that? Okay. It's also important to note that Cliffdale Farms, the only other property that currently exists under this permit, the trails are open from dawn 'til dusk and yes, they have found a way to allow the public on their property, because they exist under a permit open to the public.

It's also important that they maintain proper insurance as a facility open to the public, particularly if alcohol will be consumed on the premises. This is a public safety issue to ensure that people coming and going have the

December 6, 2022

ability to look to a proper insurance policy to protect them should something happen.

Ultimately, if this is allowed to move forward as currently proposed, the town of Cortlandt is saying that anyone with ten acres or more in an R-40, that's a one-acre, I'm sure y'all know, or greater residential district, could create a private member hotel on their property, never pay taxes and not comply with those laws intended to protect the public. This can hardly be the intention of legislation that allows a special permit for a private nature

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Is there anyone else who wishes to comment?

preserve open to the public. Thank you.

MR. MAYARD: Hi, again, [unintelligible]
[01:13:23] 59 Quaker Bridge Road, something I
forgot to mention that was on top of my list
earlier, thank you, we heard multiple fears in
recent days from neighbors who have fears of more
and more institutions coming into the
neighborhood. I would just like to stress that
the Danish Home has been an institution for 75

1 I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

December 6, 2022

years with special use permits already and the characteristics of this property are very special and unique. It's a completely atypical property, with a lot of space, more than 20,000 for the main house, nearly 20,000 for the other buildings, a cell tower on the property, Con Ed lines on the other side. This means that very wealthy buyers of 20,000 square feet properties are just not in market for that. And so the simple reality is that sooner or later, it will be just another institution who will move in, either with the current zoning or with a special permit. And so thank you so much for your consideration of this. It's absolutely crucial for us, and again, I strongly believe that we have the best possible buyer in the room. Thank you so much.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. If there are no other comments to be made from the public, maybe, is there anybody on the board who wishes to? No?

MR. KEHOE: We are checking Zoom.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay, Peter?

MR. KEHOE: No, a member of the public

24

2 wants to speak.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. TAYLOR: Oh, okay. Are we, do we have a number of people who, who are waiting? Only one, okay.

MS. CYNTHIA MOSES-MANOCHERIAN: Hi, can you hear me?

MR. KEHOE: Yes.

MS. MOSES-MANOCHERIAN: Hi, my name is Cynthia Moses-Manocherian, I live at 100 Glendale Road and I am not going to belabor all of the points, but I do have to say I agree completely with Karen Well's points. I spent many years, many people in the room might remember my name, trying to work to bring the Newcastle Planning Board and Zoning board some reality check with regard to the issues around the Sunshine Home. I am by far the largest abutter to the Sunshine Home. All of the community's requests essentially failed and they are still in construction. I do worry that this small community with small roads with important natural resources is going to be overrun by these professional institutions that are moving in.

1

24

2	It's not only traffic, it's
3	environmental concerns of other sorts. Karen has
4	laid them all out for you and I do hope you take
5	her comments to heart. We have another group
6	that's interested in purchasing a large property
7	at the top of Allapartus Road and Route 134.
8	That's in discussion with the Newcastle Planning
9	Board. And that's, you know, it's too many of
10	these professional institutions trying to move
11	into this small community. So thank you for
12	hearing me out on Zoom, and again, I agree with
13	everything Karen Wells had to say.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Is that the extent of it?
15	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. So, and then no
17	members of the board at this moment who want to
18	speak to this issue?
19	MR. BIANCHI: I'd just like to say I'd
20	like the applicant to respond for the next
21	meeting, I'm assuming we're going to adjourn the
22	public hearing.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Mm-hmm.

MR. BIANCHI: Respond to some of the

1 December 6, 2022 2 comments that they've heard tonight, especially from Ms. Wells. 3 4 MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, I think that's --MR. BIANCHI: I think that would be 5 6 useful input for us to have. 7 MS. TAYLOR: Yeah. MR. BIANCHI: Anything else, Chris? 8 9 MS. TAYLOR: No, I agree with you on that. I raised earlier the whole issue of the 10 11 nature of the permit that they are flying under. 12 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Excuse me, I can't 13 hear. 14 MS. TAYLOR: I agree, if not completely 15 with Ms. Wells, I do agree that she has some very 16 valid points that need further examination or 17 explanation. I kind of feel that she has pointed 18 to the idea that they're -- that this is an 19 organization probably with many plus points. 20 There are people that cannot come into this, 21 this, this property because -- people who are, 22 the general public, let's put it that way. 2.3 They're not members of the organization, they're

not -- they're public people like myself and

24

2.3

December 6, 2022

others. They don't really have access to this property and that is a problem for me. You're, you're proposing to open this program as a, a -- how could I call it, what you called a private nature preserve. The, the grounds and the areas surrounding the actual building are beautiful, and they certainly would be very good for people who have an interest in learning about nature and the environment to come and spend time.

But if you open it as, if you're operating under the private nature preserve portion and you don't let people in unless they are members, how does that work? I don't understand, I personally do not understand how you can call this a private, you know, excuse me, not private, but open to the public and then the public doesn't come in. I don't understand that. You can only come in if you're a member. How does that work?

MR. BIANCHI: I guess the dichotomy here is, you know, we have code enforcement saying it's a private nature preserve open to the -- it comes under the heading of private nature

1 December 6, 2022 2 preserve open to the public, but he doesn't really address the open to the public part. 3 4 MR. KEHOE: Well, there was a lengthy 5 public hearing in front of the zoning board when this was bouncing back and forth. And at that 6 7 public hearing, which was several months ago, there was discussion about walking trails and 8 9 keeping the property. And so that was the 10 information that was given back to the code 11 enforcement officer that I believe helped colored 12 his opinion that it did meet the definition of 13 private nature preserve open to the public. 14 I do think the presentation tonight, 15 because I was at both meetings, is slightly 16 different than what was talked about the zoning 17 board meeting. 18 MR. BIANCHI: So do they have to revisit 19 this or, how do we -- [unintelligible] [01:20:43] 20 21 MR. CUNNINGHAM: The public hearing is 22 going to remain open, so they're going to have 2.3 to.

MR. BIANCHI: No, but I'm talking about

24

2.3

the zoning board, the [unintelligible] [01:20:48]

MR. KEHOE: Well, the applicant needs to respond, not tonight necessarily --

MR. BIANCHI: I see.

MR. KEHOE: -- but address the threshold issue of public use of the facility I think.

MR. BIANCHI: Right. In order to fit under the code?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Correct.

MR. STENGER: I just truly wanted to address not the substance of the comments, but the substance of the, sorry, excuse me, the process, which I'm sitting here making notes of everything I'm hearing tonight, and I'm particularly making notes on this topic, and the public hearing will remain open and I think what we'll do is we will take into consideration every concern we've heard expressed this evening and do our best to address it in a manner that satisfies the board as we proceed forward. That is the process, but there is no, there are no lines drawn in the sane here. This is our first dive at

1	December 6, 2022
2	what we were trying to do, so we'll be back and
3	before we come back, you'll have something in
4	writing from us, hopefully addressing the issues
5	that were raised to your satisfaction. And if
6	not, you'll express that lack of satisfaction or
7	a request for more materials, and we'll get them
8	to you. That's what we do. That's the process. We
9	honor this process.
10	MR. BIANCHI: We appreciate your
11	responsiveness.
12	MR. STENGER: Thank you very much.
13	MR. BIANCHI: So with that, Madam Chair,
14	I move that we adjourn the public hearing.
15	MR. ANDERSON: Can I interject?
16	MR. BIANCHI: Oh, sure.
17	MR. ANDERSON: Just actually an
18	observation or an offer, would it be helpful and
19	informative to know what the scope of people who
20	are looking at the home and making offers was
21	like?
22	MR. BIANCHI: Well, I'm not
23	MR. ANDERSON; I mean if you want to
24	know who was serious about buying the home, I can

1	December 6, 2022
2	give you that information. If Ms. Well's issue
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: You're asking about
4	alternative buyers?
5	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The board doesn't
7	consider that here for many issues.
8	MR. ANDERSON: No, no, but I mean in
9	terms of Ms. Well's issues with what could happen
10	to the property, we certainly, we had sort of one
11	of everything, including people who wouldn't have
12	to apply for a special permit. If that's of
13	interest, I can inform you.
14	MR. BIANCHI: Maybe you should have that
15	conversation with Ms. Wells.
16	MR. ANDERSON: Sure, yeah, yeah,
17	absolutely. No worries.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Well, I mean we're going to
19	adjourn the hearing before we move further with
20	your motion. If you are having a how can I
21	I'm trying to get my thoughts together quickly,
22	if you're having a situation where you want to
23	call yourself a private nature preserve open to
24	the public, you've got to have the public in

December 6, 2022

7

8

there. I mean I don't know how you can divorce those two things. Where is your public? The people who are coming there are largely members. And those who come on occasion if you decide to invite anybody are people who, you know, the membership has invited, not necessarily the general public.

24

So I really don't understand how you -you're either going to have to pull the public in in some way, which I know will be very upsetting to many people, because you like, like most people, including myself, you like your nice little neighborhoods quiet and not, you know, not bothersome. So if you, if you are going to have your public, your private nature preserve open, reserve, I'm sorry, open to the public, you've got to put the public in there. Or else find another way to apply for what you want to do. You'll get the right kind of permit or application, because I don't see how you can have a lot of people or the public coming and going and then you, you have this private reserve. I don't see the two meshing. And I think that's

1	December 6, 2022
2	going to take some time to work that out, from my
3	point of view. I don't if you don't have any
4	public in there, you don't have any public. And
5	it's not open to the public. Okay. Well, anyway,
6	there was a motion made.
7	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, motion to adjourn
8	the public hearing, Madam Chair.
9	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you very much.
11	And on the question, all in favor?
12	MULTIPLE: Aye.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. So we'll
14	adjourn to I guess the January meeting?
15	MR. KEHOE: January 10th.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, okay.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Keep going.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Alrightie. Alright, moving
19	to the next area of the, excuse me, to the next
20	area of the agenda, we are dealing with okay,
21	the next area of the business, the next area of
22	the agenda is under old business. The first item
23	is under old business is PB 2022-10, the
24	application of Bilal Ahmad, for the property of

2.3

Ace/Sport Realty Hold, excuse me, Sport Realty Holding Corp. for site development plan approval and for steep slope, I'm not reading this correctly. For site development plan approval and for steep slope tree removal and wetland permits for a proposed four story 91-room hotel for property located at 2054 East Main Street, drawings dated October 19, 2022. Okay.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Good evening,

Madam Chair, members of the board, David

Steinmetz from the law firm of Zarin & Steinmetz

here representing Bilal Ahmad. Before I start my

presentation, because I don't want to sit down

this evening without having had an opportunity to

speak to you, Loretta --

MS. TAYLOR: Oh.

MR. STEINMETZ: -- as one of the professionals who's probably appeared before you over the last 30ish years more than most, I really wanted a chance to first acknowledge we haven't always agreed with one another, and we frequently made that clear to one another. But I'm so pleased that I can stand before you and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

say you have always been kind, you have always been thoughtful, you have always been respectful and I've always enjoyed appearing before you in your service as chair. We spent many late nights together here in this room and we both know that there were times that I would get up to this podium after a number of other matters had preceded and I could see you look and going oh, no, David, please don't tell me this is going to be a long one tonight. And as I said, even if we were both yawning, you were always respectful not only of me and my clients, but the public. And that, Loretta, you have left your legacy on this board, you have left your legacy on this town, and I'm kind of happy you get your Tuesday nights back every once in a while.

MS. TAYLOR: I am too. Thank you so much. I'd love to have them back. Thank you, David.

MR. STEINMETZ: You're very welcome. And if you're ever looking for a hotel to stay in, in Cortlandt [laughter] that brings me to why I'm actually standing in front of you. So all kidding

December 6, 2022

2

aside, I'm pleased to be able to be here tonight

J

in connection with the proposed hotel on Route 6.

4

My client is a contract vendee of this property,

We appeared before you last month and

5

as you all know.

6

everyone was truly exhausted when I got to the

8

7

microphone and you made it very clear to me, so

9

Christian and I were very brief. We'll try to be

10

efficient tonight, but we're going to try to make

11

sure you get what you need. I'm joined tonight by

I'm also joined by my colleague, Brian

12

Christian Freeman from our project architect,

13

excuse me, our project engineering firm, Ed

14

Kepplinger.

15

Sinsabaugh, who you will be seeing more and more

17

of. And we are here tonight to make sure your

18

board understands what the application is all

19

about. The property is owned by Ace Sport/Realty

20

Holding Corp, the Hersh family. And you all are

familiar with this property located at the

2122

intersection of Route 6, Jacobs Hill Road and it

23

kind of backs up to the off-ramp of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Bear}}$

24

Mountain Parkway. We're in the CD or designed

December 6, 2022

2

commercial district. The lot itself is

3

approximately 2.3 acres and hotel is a permitted

So we're excited to be able to present

4

use on this property.

5

6 this hotel application for those of us who have

Ū

7 been involved in a lot of things in the town over

8

the last decade or more, we know that there has

9

been a desire for a quality hotel and this is

10

proposed to be a Marriot Hotel at this location.

11

It would have 93 hotel rooms, it would be five

12

stories and we'll come back to that. It would

13

have amenities such as a cantilevered courtyard

14

deck out facing Route 6 and some of the

15

landscaping. It would have a commercial kitchen, a conference room, a fitness center, a full bar

Your board has declared itself, your

1617

and grill, and an indoor pool.

18

19 intent to serve as lead agency. We'r

20

_ _

21

22

23

24

intent to serve as lead agency. We're in the 30-day period, so that has not been culminated yet. We submitted our initial comment response letter on November 21st, beginning to address a number of the issues that were raised and we are now,

we've since, since that time, Madam Chair, we

2.3

have received a letter from your outside consulting engineer, we've received a letter from the Westchester County and we know we're going to be responding to that. We haven't had a chance. That'll be for our next submission.

Most importantly, before we even filed this application, we met with your professional staff and we know that there are some items that will require variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals, not the least of which is the height of this building. We are in a zone that permits three stories in height. When we made the application initially, we actually thought the design constituted four stories and that was the initial discussion with staff.

It has since, the code has since been taken very seriously in a deeper dive both by your outside consultant and by Mr. Rogers, and I have spoken with Martin, and we will be getting a denial letter from Martin I think in the next couple of days that allows us to being in front of the zoning board. Martin has revised his analysis and concluded that the structure is

December 6, 2022

2

technically five stories under the code. It is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

what it is.

We can explain why the building is what it is, but the most important thing for you tonight to understand is if we want a quality hotel in Cortlandt, if we a hotel that is amenitized with the types of things that attract customers, business people, families who want to visit other families in Cortlandt, with amenities like a pool and a restaurant and a fitness center, it needs to have a certain dynamic life within it. That dynamic life is, according to Marriot, at least 90 keys, or 90 rooms.

So in order to achieve viability, we need the structure that we're proposing. The good news for us is that Christian and his team have spent a great deal of time since we first met with your staff six, eight months out, figuring out how to grade this building into the site in the most sensitive and environmentally appropriate fashion. And that's what we're going to be working with you over the next several months, maybe not with you, Loretta, but

December 6, 2022

2

hopefully, you'll watch, if you would occasionally watch on Tuesday nights.

4

3

We know we're going to need variances.

5 6

we're going to need a side yard variance, a, a --

We're going to need a building height variance,

7

we're going to actually need two side yard

8

variances, because we're at a corner lot. We're

9

going to need a landscape buffer variance and

10

we're going to need some parking landscape

11

variances. I'm going to allow Christian to go

12

deeper into that if you wish, but I want your

13

board to know tonight that we've already told

14

staff we would very much, we would very much like

15

to get to the zoning board at the earliest

16

possible date.

17

Why? I know I have threshold variances.

I knew that before I filed my application that I

18

19 have a threshold variance. I thought it was from

20

three stories to four stories, it's now from

21

three stories to five stories. I still think, our

22

2.3

great application. But if we're not going to be

team believes and my client believes we have a

24

able to get over that hurdle of in particular the

2.3

height with the zoning board, no matter how much fun it is to be here on Tuesday nights with or without Loretta, I don't want to keep coming here for a year processing this application if it's not going to be successful in front of the zoning board.

I know that I can't get a final vote in front of your zoning board, because you're the lead agency, but I know that the chair and that board will process that application enough so that our team and my client will know are we doing the right thing, are we not wasting anybody's time and resources, so the earliest that your board can allow us to get to the zoning board, I'm asking that you consider doing that. I've made that very clear to both Michael and Chris. They know I'm looking for a pathway to the zoning board. So, having said that, a couple of quick details.

And Christian is going to explain how he's dealing with it with retaining walls, and terracing and landscaping. We know we have to remove some trees. The good news is we're going

2.3

to completely cooperate and comply with the town's tree ordinance. We're actually going to replace more trees than we're removing, the trees that we're required to replace, and we're going to plant 40 new trees, 135 shrubs and we think we're going to comply with the tree ordinance.

In terms of the building orientation, what do we have up in front of us now? The front door to this hotel faces what we would all consider the back of the property. Those of us how know Jacobs Hill Road and Jacobs Hill townhouses and condos, this, this hotel is spun in such a way so that the front is facing what you might think is the back.

Why did we do that? Well, we didn't do that because Mr. Ahmad tasked our team with making sure the hotel faced the back of the property. What we did is we were tasked with designing this hotel in such a way that it would be environmental sensitive, appropriate and safe. We made sure that the entrance to the hotel site would line up directly across from the entrance to Pike Plaza so that it would promote the kind

2.3

of circulation that Martin Rogers and the fire department made clear to us they wanted to have. So if we're going to do that, and we're going to try to avoid having a front door where you enter a building that's on grade and sloped, that's not going to work. So we put the, the front door where it works best for the site.

Why does it also work best for the site?

Because the predominance of the parking for the hotel, when you go, Loretta, when you come to the hotel, you're going to park near the front door.

And that is going to be in the back of the building because that's going to be the closest place in. So most of the parking is behind the building, which is really where it belongs. Not visible to the rest of the community.

So while I'm somewhat disappointed that my friend and county planning commissioner Norma Drummond didn't understand that, and wrote her comment letter and questioned why we were spinning the building in that way, when I have the opportunity to explain that to the county, hopefully the county will understand we didn't do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

December 6, 2022

this without thinking it through. And again, we look forward to working with your board to try to explain and ultimately get you comfortable with that orientation.

Lastly, we were told to have sidewalks. We have sidewalks that are proposed along Jacobs Hill Road, sidewalks along Route 6 and you see the landscaping that these folks are working on, not only will there be a wonderful cantilevered courtyard or, or expanse in the back of the hotel that somebody can go out and have a drink and enjoy themselves, but it's going to be a very attractive view into the site, so much so that though you haven't received it yet, I was told today by the director of economic development that I could say that the town's director of economic development supports this application, thinks this is a terrific use for the property, is going to promote folks utilizing Cortlandt Boulevard, utilizing your restaurants, etc. and I expect to be coming back to your board in the near future with a letter from the Chamber of Commerce also endorsing this.

So it's a long introductory way of saying we're excited about this application, we appreciate the time you're giving us. I'm going to turn it over to Christian to hopefully be as efficient as possible, we've already started working with your traffic consultants and we've got a good one. Let's not let this hotel escape Cortlandt yet again.

MR. KESSLER: You said there was a response on the 21st that you sent in?

MR. STEINMETZ: We sent a submission letter dated November 21st, comment response letter, it was uploaded to the portal and checked with staff.

MR. KEHOE: You guys don't have that yet?

MR. KESSLER: I can't seem to find it.

MR. KEHOE: I thought I emailed it to you. It came in via email a couple of days ago from Norma. I'll check.

MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, I got it.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, see?

MR. KESSLER: You got it?

2.3

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. KEHOE: Tom's got it.
3	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
4	MR. KEHOE: Right, it's Norma's letter,
5	three pages long, right?
6	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
7	MR. STEINMETZ: If they don't send you
8	stuff, just let me know.
9	MR. KESSLER: I'll look at the email.
10	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
11	MR. BIANCHI: November 28th.
12	MR. CHRISTIAN FREEMAN: My name's
13	Christian. It's a pleasure to
14	MR. KESSLER: November what?
15	MR. FREEMAN: It's a pleasure to finally
16	be speaking before you. I know you were worn out
17	at the last meeting, so I'm happy to be here now.
18	David, as usual, did a very good job getting into
19	the details. There's only a couple of things,
20	other than going over the site that maybe I can
21	pick up and just mention. The first is we've been
22	already in contact with Chris for several months
23	now. One of the things we're in touch with him on
24	is regarding the trees. So we have a tree

2.3

December 6, 2022

protection plan that we've submitted and what it shows is due to the amounts of grading we'll need to do to get this hotel to function, there will unfortunately have to be a good amount of the

On the other hand, the existing condition of the site are such that the tree material that predominantly exits on site is sort of a ring around the property line. The middle of the site is actually sort of an undulated, flattened out lawn area that exists. There's an old fence and some old raggedy ornamental trees there but the predominant, most valuable trees that I'm sure will be determined from the consultant hired by the town will show that most of the trees are on the outside of the town.

tree material that will have to be removed.

And what I've prepared for you today,

Chris has on my flash drive is just a quick video

of a 3D model that we've been working in and with

a consultant whose paid for this to give you guys

a good understanding of what this is going to

look like. We wanted to be sensitive to view

sheds and how this is going to work in a context.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

So when Chris brings that up, you're going to see that the sort of ornamental trees we're proposing along with some tiered walls, that will help soften the visual impact of a hotel that is truly perched up within a hillside, in fact with one floor half hidden from view.

That's the story that David was talking about being a variance element.

But this perched hotel is going to be hidden behind these tiered retaining walls and further softened by existing plant material that's along Route 6. So, as you see right now, we are driving down the parkway. We are heading towards Route 6 and the proposed hotel is on the right. You'll see two tired retaining walls. And here we are taking a shot out. You can see the roof deck we're proposing and that's sort of, and as we look further to the right there, those are existing plant material that is along the parkway. Now, here we are, there's an existing intersection. We're going down Route 6 facing towards Jacobs Hill and those, that large group of trees right in the middle of the view right

2.3

now, that is all existing tree material that we're intending to protect. And those represent tall, significant trees that will buffer the hotel from the road.

As you can see, there will still be some visual connections with the hotel to the road, but it's important to note that those existing large trees are intended to remain.

Here's a good shot from across JacobRoute 6, Jacobs Hill is on the left and those
three trees between those two utility poles are
just a shorter existing cherry tree, so you will
have sort of a hole to look into visually, from
that intersection up towards the hotel, and as we
move up Jacobs Hill, you can see again that
retaining wall that comes around the front of the
property line and sort of smiles towards the
uphill portion of the site. And those white and
pink trees are proposed trees by us. That big
birch there was existing.

And now you get a sense of kind of what it's going to look like as you would enter the site to get to the front door, which is along the

2.3

left. And what we've also provided in the last two submissions are photo boards that have sort of arrows and triangles indicating where you'd have views from. We thought, again, we're trying to be very sensitive and think about the visual impacts of this project and how we can do something so big and potentially so helpful for the town, but also have a light touch and keep it green and work with the consultant.

MR. KEHOE: It's a shame Mr. Foley's not here because he's been asking for that type of rendering for 30 years. So that's one of the best rendering I think the board has ever seen. Not prejudging the application, but -- [laughter]

MR. STEINMETZ: Send him a copy.

MR. FREEMAN: It comes all the way from Syracuse. And then the only other thing I would mention that David didn't is we have been in touch -- we have a traffic consultant that our company has worked with for years. He's out of Syracuse GTS, Gordon Stansbury is his name. He's worked with HVEA, the town consultants, and they have been in step and working together for

2.3

several months and I believe they're working towards a resolution. Their studies have shown peak traffic flows hotels by DOT, federal and state standards are by definition not high uses, they don't have high peaks. And their studies also utilize the existing gas stations and proposed projects along Route 6.

MR. KEHOE: And, I want to touch upon that because I wanted it to be clear. We talked about it at the work session. I think Steve, are you, are you wondering that we got actual traffic counts from Gasland and Palisades, and if understand correctly, it's telling us how many cars are driving on the road. That's real empirical data that they did. And you're going to do it again. And then, Steve, you want to see the difference between the two?

MR. KESSLER: Side by side.

MR. STEINMETZ: We, we understand. And, and Mr. Kessler had shared that with me, that he was concerned about that, I've heard that from staff as well. I think you actually said it very quickly at the last meeting. So you have

1 December 6, 2022 2 empirical data from those other two gas station applications, one of which I was involved with. 3 4 We clearly have ITE data in connection with the hotel and our client owns several other hotels. 5 So we can certainly share with you I think more 6 7 than a sufficient amount of traffic data. And Christian said it and he said it 8 9 well, a hotel is not a particularly active 10 competitive use with other peak hour uses, so --11 MR. KEHOE: But I want to make sure, 12 because I think you're really more an academic 13 exercise. You want to see if the projections from 14 Gasland are, are correct sort of with what you 15 project. 16 MR. STEINMETZ: Okay. 17 MR. KEHOE: Like if Gasland said there 18 was going to be 1,000 cars and on the road and it 19 turns out there's only 600, or if there's 1,600 20 Steve wants to know --21 MR. KESSLER: No peeking until you do

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

of the numbers, so that's good too.

MR. STEINMETZ: I have no recollection

your study. [laughter]

22

2.3

24

MR. KESSLER: Okay.

MR. STEINMETZ: The only other thing, and I do want to mention, I don't want to cut you off, Christian if you want to -- we have reached out and we are trying to set up a meeting with some of the neighbors across the way who obviously have views towards this site. So we plan on doing that, and if there are other folks out there who are watching this or would like to spend some time with our team or our client, I know our team wants to make sure everyone in the area understands the visuals. You should speak for a moment about the signage issue that you were talking about.

MR. FREEMAN: So, what we hadn't shown on our last submission and Chris I, if you could open that updated .PDF dated today, what we have done today is we submitted something to you 11/21 and we've continued working, so I also gave to Chris a document that is just of our progress up to today. And one of the items on it that we neglected to show and may is something that we could talk about is how we get a monument sign

1	December 6, 2022
2	approved. And the question we're having and sort
3	of in my experience is oftentimes we'll take a
4	sign application and we'll do it, we'll flag it
5	during the project review right now, and we would
6	say future sign to be approved and then the
7	ownership group will get it approved later.
8	But with a project like this, if we're
9	already going before the zoning board, we'd like
10	to get the signs, if we're going before the
11	zoning board and our project
12	MR. KESSLER: You're saying you need a
13	variance for your signage also?
14	MR. FREEMAN: We may. We may. Yes. And
15	so what we are going to have is a sign on the
16	building, the physical building itself, along
17	with a small monument sign along the corner of
18	Jacobs Hill Road and East Main Street.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Do we have an
20	illustration of what that was?
21	MR. FREEMAN: No.
22	MR. KEHOE: I'm getting there.
23	MR. FREEMAN: No.
24	MR. STEINMETZ: You don't have it yet?

	$D_{\alpha} = 0.01$
1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. FREEMAN: Yeah.
3	MR. STEINMETZ: And could you just,
4	since [unintelligible] [01:47:15] is there
5	signage on the facing of the building facing
6	Route 6?
7	MR. FREEMAN: There will be, yes, and
8	that's actually in Chris, and I'm going to tell
9	him to open another one.
10	MR. KEHOE: Is it this one?
11	MR. FREEMAN: Yeah, if you go to that
12	photo board, I have an image of looking at the
13	view that will show, yeah.
14	MR. STEINMETZ: I think the planning
15	board should see that, because I think that's
16	MR. KEHOE: It's in this drawing set
17	though?
18	MR. FREEMAN: Yeah, if you pan over to
19	the views. Yes, please. Scroll up, go to the,
20	yeah, if you wouldn't mind going to the next
21	page, that would be helpful.
22	MR. KESSLER: So you're saying there's a
23	sign at the entrance?
24	MR. FREEMAN: Yes.

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. KESSLER: And there's a sign also on
3	Route 6.
4	MR. STEINMETZ: And there's signage
5	MR. KESSLER: There's signage on the
6	building facing Route 6?
7	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
8	MR. FREEMAN: Correct.
9	MR. KESSLER: And then there's going to
10	be a monument sign as well?
11	MR. FREEMAN: Correct. which we don't,
12	we don't, we show it on our building, but
13	MR. KEHOE: I don't know maybe I'm not
14	looking at the right
15	MR. KESSLER: Alright. We don't have to
16	it's not the most important thing right now.
17	MR. STEINMETZ: Oh, Chris
18	MR. FREEMAN: Oh, it's on the
19	architectural renderings, sorry. Yeah,
20	that's where it is.
21	MR. KEHOE: Well, how was I supposed to
22	know that?
23	MR. FREEMAN: No one told you.
24	MR. KESSLER: But this is a ZBA issue.

1 December 6, 2022 2 MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. But we, we thought the board should be aware of it. It is on 3 4 the large face of the building and it's designed to break the face of the building. 5 MR. KESSLER: Okay. But this will also 6 7 go through our architectural review committee. 8 MR. FREEMAN: Right. 9 MR. KESSLER: For their review. 10 MR. FREEMAN: Right. Yeah. 11 MR. KEHOE: I don't see it on the preliminary architecture plans either. That's a 12 13 five page set of drawings? 14 MR. FREEMAN: Yes. 15 [CROSSTALK] 16 MR. ROTHFEDER: I know this is early in 17 the process and there will be some time to talk 18 about it more later, but have you talked, thought 19 about using renewable energy on the site, or 20 recycling water or something? It's going to be a 21 pretty big site. It'd be nice, I'd like to see 22 this community move more towards things like

MR. FREEMAN: Sure. So I can speak

2.3

24

that.

2.3

December 6, 2022

towards our storm system, which will instead of just dumping it out, we're going to use a subsurface storm detention system, so what it is we're going to have chambers underneath the parking lots and then the water is going to come off the building through roof drains and storm structures and be contained within a subsurface system, and then be outlet through a small orifice at a regulated flow that's going to be legal, the civil engineering numbers will be an appropriate amount that will be equal to what the current outlet is.

In terms of renewing water, we could certainly look into, if this particular flag of hotels has that, have they done that before. In terms of other uses, I mean you could in theory, there could maybe be a natural gas. I think due to the amount of rock that's under our site right now, that's only in some places five or six feet below ground, solid rock, that would not be a feasible way of doing things. That, that would not be something we --

MR. KEHOE: Well, I think, and it should

1	December 6, 2022
2	have probably been in my review memo and David
3	Steinmetz has experience with this with the
4	Cortlandt Crossing Shopping Center is we don't
5	really have it codified anywhere about what we
6	would call green initiatives, whether it's solar
7	panels or electric charging stations or things
8	like that.
9	MR. FREEMAN: I was just going to get
10	there.
11	MR. KEHOE: So
12	MR. FREEMAN: The client is definitely
13	interested in bearing some conduit towards doing
14	perhaps a future EV charging stations or set of
15	them.
16	MR. KEHOE: But I think generally
17	speaking, respond to that with what you can
18	propose at this site in the world of
19	sustainability issues. I think
20	MR. KESSLER: Did the county also have
21	that same [unintelligible] [01:51:12].
22	MR. KEHOE: I think so, yeah.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, they did, they did.
24	MR. STEINMETZ: So, Mr. Rothfeder, I

December 6, 2022

2345

hear you loud and clear as you can imagine, I know that this board wants us to look at sustainable features. We are at the outset of the application. Let us take a look and come back. We hear the comment.

7

6

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. KEHOE: One thing, if we moved, you

8

9 can't declare yourselves lead agent until January

10

11

that's your next meeting. I mean you couldn't do

10th, just because of the -- you could do --

12

it this meeting, you have to wait until the next

13

meeting. The zoning board won't be meeting until after January 10th anyway. Maybe you could do a

1415

site inspection. I mean it might be bad weather

16

or whatever, you can see, whatever that Sunday

17

is, January 7th, or 8th or something, if you want

18

to schedule a site inspection and then have them

19

20

come back on the 10th for you to declare

21

yourselves lead agent, and then have them go to

22

the zoning board after the meeting on the 10th.

You would have to be applying to the zoning board

2.3

prior to January 10th to --

24

MR. STEINMETZ: We very much appreciate

1 December 6, 2022 2 the suggestion if that's what the board wishes to 3 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

do. One of the reasons I had encouraged Mr. Rogers to prepare his denial letter was thinking through the fact that we were trying to target that January meeting. So assuming he gets his denial letter finalized, which I think is imminent, Brian and I think we have a pretty good shot of preparing an application and trying to get it in. So we would love to target that January zoning board meeting, we'd love to find ourselves on your January 10th planning board meeting. I'm not even sure whether there are any -- who did you circulate this to that could potentially -- besides the zoning board, did you

MR. KEHOE: Well, DOT, county, City of Peekskill.

MR. STEINMETZ: None of them are going to declare themselves lead agency.

MR. KEHOE: No, no, no, no.

MR. STEINMETZ: So I'm not particularly worried about the lead agency aspect, because no one else is going -- the only other possible lead

2.3

December 6, 2022

agent would be the zoning board, and they're not going to take lead agency, because you've already expressed your intent. So --

MR. KEHOE: But I would think, just coming back to the January 10th meeting solely to declare yourselves lead agent, it doesn't have to be another presentation. But if you wanted to do the site inspection, weather dependent, the Sunday before that would give you something to talk about.

MR. KESSLER: It would also be nice to do a site inspection when we have a full board.

MR. KEHOE: Well, you won't have that in January, yeah, so you could wait until after they go through the zoning board.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah.

MR. BIANCHI: And just a question on the process here, because you're going parallel routes to the zoning board and planning board and we're going to be lead agency, I understand that. But what is our role, I guess? What can we do unless the zoning board has made decisions on the various variances that are required here because

December 6, 2022

2

that could change the site plan.

3

4

_

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. BIANCHI: Exactly.

MR. STEINMETZ: Absolutely, which is exactly Tom why I'm trying to get there sooner rather than later. And so what I would, from a

for themselves, but we talked about his before.

We filed, if your board has any desire, an

procedural standpoint, and I'll let staff speak

intention to make, to take a position and make a

recommendation to the zoning board, which you

have done from time to time, I'm, we're here in

front of you to make sure you got up to speed,

you learned enough about the application and why it's got five stories, why it's positioned on the

site where it is.

I would love to go to the zoning board

In January and/or February, hopefully either with

no opinion or a tacit or expressed approval from

your board, because you're right, Tom, I can't

process in front of this board to conclusion

until I know what the zoning board is going to

do. If they're going to change something in the

building --

1 December 6, 2022 2 MR. STEINMETZ: -- we want to do that before we ask you to vote on final approval. So 3 4 if you guys want to be involved at all in 5 providing your opinion to the zoning board, I would ask that you consider doing that at the 6 7 January or the February meeting at the latest. MR. BIANCHI: I, speaking for myself, I 8 9 don't think I know enough about this to make any 10 recommendation either way to --11 MR. STEINMETZ: Okay. 12 MR. BIANCHI: I mean you're looking for 13 a lot of variances here. I think it's hard 14 pressed for us to say thumbs up or thumbs down at 15 this point. 16 MR. STEINMETZ: Okay. 17 MR. BIANCHI: It almost seems like they 18 take precedence here in coming up, you know, five 19 stories is significant in this town. 20 MR. STEINMETZ: Yeah, and just because I 21 don't want to let that comment go unresponded to. 22 It's really --

MR. BIANCHI: You never do.

MR. STEINMETZ: What's that?

2.3

24

MR. BIANCHI: You never do.

MR. STEINMETZ: I never do. Uh, it's by Loretta's leaving the board, let's be honest.

[laughter] I don't take it personally, Loretta.

It's really a four-story building that just because we happen to be making some use of the basement area, it's getting classified as a story because we're going to have an in ground swimming pool and a fitness area in the basement. So, the grade is what the grade is. Again, the building, we're not, no one on our team is ashamed that this building is, is structured and designed and graded the way it is. So technically, it's five stories.

MR. KEHOE: But, so, that's an image, right? Does that help explain? I mean you may want to talk about that a little.

MR. FREEMAN: That's actually a little bit of an aggressive image. The fade off of grade, if you go to the left and right of the entry that's shown, is actually exaggerated there. So that much of the sunken floor, the bottom story if you will, will not even be

1	December 6, 2022
2	exposed in this image. It's the architect
3	grading. We do the grading.
4	MR. KEHOE: But your point would be that
5	there's four floors of windows?
6	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
7	MR. KEHOE: Stuff like that.
8	MR. FREEMAN: Yeah, and the renderings
9	will show that more clearly. The building, Brian
10	and I took some time today to go over what the
11	actual height of the building is, which sounds
12	like a simple question and we just did it
13	ourselves based on the corners of the building,
14	and we came up with 48'10". So, if it were truly
15	a five story building, five times ten, five times
16	11, 55 feet, so you get a sense of this is us
17	averaging grade. So 48, it's more like four and a
18	half.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: So we'll present that to
20	the zoning board.
21	MR. FREEMAN: Yes.
22	MR. BIANCHI: So our role here is not to
23	do much until we get more action from the zoning
24	board.

	Page 113
1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. STEINMETZ: That's tine.
3	MR. BIANCHI: I just want to make that
4	clear that we're not going to be able to really -
5	- our hands are tied.
6	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
7	MR. KEHOE: Well, but that was one of
8	their issues. So they, you know, they had to fund
9	a pretty large escrow at the beginning of the
10	project. Our arborist has been out there, our
11	wetland consultant has been out there, the
12	traffic has been out there.
13	MR. BIANCHI: All those things can
14	proceed.
15	MR. KEHOE: So, they're working on. But,
16	uh, so I guess what you're sort of saying is just
17	go to the zoning board.
18	MR. BIANCHI: That's what I'm saying.
19	MR. KEHOE: Not a lot more before you,
20	not a site inspection yet.
21	MR. BIANCHI: No.
22	MR. KEHOE: Just go to the zoning board.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
24	MR. KESSLER: I agree.

MR. FREEMAN: Before there's one
other variance that I figured I would at least
put before you. If you don't want to comment on
it, we'll go before ZBA. We received in that
comment letter a question about parking. And I
know you're just getting your teeth into this.
But we show 101 spaces. Per code, 100 is required
for a 93-room hotel, so we have one extra space.
We $^\prime$ re going to need the internal parking island
green space variance. We don't have enough
islands that are green in the parking lot to meet
your code. We need that variance. What we could
do and we talked with the owner is we could
eliminate a few more spaces. If you think that
that's something we could do, then we're going
before the zoning board with another variance.
And that's something I'm only asking now because
if we
MR. BIANCHI: Do you have EV spaces
there now?
MR. FREEMAN: We, I think the owner is -
_

MR. BIANCHI: No, no, I understand that,

1 December 6, 2022 2 but --MR. STEINMETZ: The answer is no. 3 4 They're not shown now. MR. BIANCHI: And don't they take up 5 more space than a regular --6 7 MR. STEINMETZ: No. MR. BIANCHI: No? 8 9 MR. STEINMETZ: No. And one thing on the 10 issue of space that I think is really important, 11 Marriot, which obviously wants to make sure that 12 anyone who's staying at the hotel and is 13 comfortable and not complaining that they can't 14 park their rental car, Marriott has told our 15 client they don't need 101 parking spaces. So 16 what Christian is doing is letting you know 17 between now and when we come back for a 18 substantive discussion, we may meet with the 19 zoning board and say look folks, we're, we can 20 eliminate or reduce the parking lot landscape 21 buffer variance if we can eliminate a couple of 22 parking spaces.

MR. KEHOE: But --

2.3

24 MR. STEINMETZ: So we're going to end up

1 December 6, 2022 2 identifying --MR. KEHOE: -- that's critical though, 3 4 because that, you have to have five percent of 5 the interior of the parking lot landscaped. MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. 6 7 MR. KEHOE: And you can't do it with 101. 8 9 MR. STEINMETZ: Correct. 10 MR. KEHOE: So let's just pick a number. 11 You can do it with 95 or 90, whatever it is. But 12 the planning board I think would want to see the 13 bonus that that extra landscaping is --14 MR. STEINMETZ: No problem. 15 MR. KEHOE: -- is, is it worth it to 16 eliminate six spaces or is it not worth it. 17 MR. FREEMAN: And to respond to Chris 18 quickly, I know we're getting in the weeds here, 19 sorry. We're not going to, we're still not going 20 to meet that internal green space, so all it 21 would be doing is just adding a little bit of 22 curb island that's green in there. And the only 2.3 reason I'm bringing it up is so that you can 24 advise us whether or not we go before the zoning

1 December 6, 2022 2 board and ask for yet another variance. MR. KEHOE: So you're never going to 3 4 meet five, even if you eliminate, you'd be at one 5 or two percent? MR. FREEMAN: This is [unintelligible] 6 7 [02:00:02]. MR. STEINMETZ: And that's because we 8 have full circulation for fire vehicles all the 9 10 way around this building. We were told by Martin 11 we had to make sure we could get your largest 12 fire vehicle all the way around the building. 13 MR. KEHOE: Right. So it's hard, as you 14 say, to do this sort of stuff on the fly, but do 15 you have an opinion about whether they ask for 16 another variance of parking in order to get more 17 landscaping? 18 MR. BIANCHI: I think they can ask for 19 the variance, but it's still in our purview to 20 decide ultimately the parking, correct? 21 MR. KEHOE: Well, the zoning board is not granting any of the variances anyway. They 22 2.3 would simply say they've looked at it and they're 24 conceivably willing to ultimately grant a

1	December 6, 2022
2	variance for five spaces or something. It's
3	ultimately up to you.
4	MR. FREEMAN: Right.
5	MR. BIANCHI: So, we'll deal with it
6	when we deal with it.
7	MR. STEINMETZ: I guess we'll be back.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Alright.
9	MR. STEINMETZ: We appreciate your time,
10	Loretta.
11	MR. KEHOE: But you will be on the
12	agenda just for the lead agency conclusion.
13	MR. STEINMETZ: We'll be back.
14	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: Have a good evening,
16	everybody.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Peter?
19	MR. MCKINLEY: Yes, sorry, Tom, did you
20	say something?
21	MR. KEHOE: No, go ahead.
22	MR. MCKINLEY: I'm up for motion, yes,
23	is that what
24	MR. KEHOE: Yes.

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. MCKINLEY: Okay. Great. Michael, you
3	have to just give me some guidance here, but I
4	make a motion to refer back to staff.
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Refer back to staff.
6	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
7	MR. MCKINLEY: For the application of
8	the Bilal Ahmad for the property of the Ace/Sport
9	Realty Holding Company for the December meeting?
10	MR. KEHOE: The January meeting.
11	MR. MCKINLEY: January meeting, sorry.
12	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. On the question?
14	All in favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. Alright.
17	Moving on to the final item for tonight.
18	MR. BIANCHI: No.
19	MS. TAYLOR: No?
20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Second to last.
21	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Oh, no, yeah. I'm sorry.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Wishful thinking.
24	MS. TAYLOR: Much. The next item under

old business is PB 6-15, the application of
Hudson Ridge Wellness Center, Inc. for site
development plan approval and a special permit
for a hospital to be located at the former Hudson
Institute property to provide a New York State of
Addiction Services and Support certified facility
to treat individuals with chemical dependency
issues located at 2016 Quaker Ridge, is it road?
Did we leave out something? Alright. Latest
drawings October 24, 2022.

MR. BOB DAVIS: Good evening. I'm Bob

Davis, attorney for the applicants. First of

course, I'd like to join in extending all the

best to Ms. Taylor and Mr. Foley. I thank you for

your service and always being attentive when I've

been before the board, much appreciated.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you so much.

MR. DAVIS: I much appreciate it, and you'll certainly be missed. My parting gift for you today will be to be very brief. [laughter]

I'm sure you'll appreciate that.

MS. TAYLOR: I certainly will.

MR. DAVIS: Given some of my

December 6, 2022

2

presentations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you so much.

MR. DAVIS: And fortunately, it's not a public hearing tonight either. So, tonight, I just want to give you a very brief update on the status of this matter before we proceed in greater detail at the upcoming meetings. As you know, we're here for site plan approval, along with a special permit for a specialty hospital, now to serve a maximum, a reduced maximum of only 52 patients, for a parking waiver special permit now to permit only 40 spaces, of which 33 are already existing.

You may recall that you have our comprehensive February and March 2022 submissions that address the downsized application at that point. And on April 5th, your board on the basis of those submissions and the voluminous record, rendered your well substantiated negative declaration under SEQRA with 34 agreed mitigative conditions and finding that the proposed action will not have any significant adverse environmental impacts.

2.3

So following your neg dec, we proceeded with our concurrent application before the zoning board for an area variance from the state road frontage requirement for hospitals and the zoning board conducted its public hearings on that application at is June and August meetings following my initial presentation to that board in April. On September 27th, the zoning board granted the variance. In its thorough ten-page decision and order, which was based in large part on the substance of your negative declaration and also, of course on the exhaustive record of over seven years of this matter before both of your boards.

Upon the granting of that variance, the applicant was finally able to proceed in earnest with addressing the 34 conditions in your neg dec. The applicant did proceed promptly after the neg dec to commence preparation for approval before OASAS, which is its state licensing authority, the Office of Addiction Services and Supports. As you'll recall, condition number one of the neg dec provides that the applicant will

2.3

December 6, 2022

pursue the OASAS approval concurrently with your board's review and that prior to the chair signing any site plan drawings, the applicant must obtain initial contingent approval or other comparable preliminary approval from OASAS for the hospital's program and site elements and identify its intended operator for the hospital.

So our clients licensing consultant,
Cicero Consulting Associates, whose
representatives have appeared before your board
and the zoning board in these proceedings is well
along with initiating the OASAS license
application process and should be requesting the
pre-consultation meeting as it's called required
for OASAS to commence its formal review very
shortly. And once that meeting is confirmed,
we'll advise the board and we'll keep the board
updated on that process of course.

The applicant has endeavored to address other plan related neg dec conditions. In JMC's November 21st submission to your board for your review, and that of staff, in the hopes of having these matters reviewed and finalized rather

December 6, 2022

expeditiously, if possible.

__

So to summarize, all conditions related to the neg dec have been addressed and submitted to your board at this point for review, with the one exception of the OASAS process which is understandably is on its own timeframe. But nonetheless an update in that regard should be coming to you shortly. So that's where we are and we expect to be before you at the upcoming meetings and hoping at long last to bring this matter to a conclusion without much further delay. So I thank you for your time and I believe in speaking to Mr. Kehoe that you'd be looking to reopen the public hearing at the January meeting.

You might recall that in effect, you closed the public hearing for the environmental matters upon rendering your neg dec or just before that. And the public hearing at this point will be addressing site plan matters, the specifics of the plan itself.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. Convince me I should have a public hearing without OASAS' determination of your program.

2.3

MR. DAVIS: Well, first of all, we, the condition says we'll be going through a concurrent review with this board's review and we've undertaken to do that. And we'll have that information for you shortly. We don't, your board is addressing site plan matters, Mr. Kessler primarily. In practice, as I understand it, OASAS will be looking primarily at the program aspects. We know that there's a 52 patient maximum. There can't be any more than that. There could be less. So there's no reason whatsoever legally or practically why should not proceed with addressing the site plan matter.

MR. KESSLER: Yes, we've closed the public meeting on the environmental and it's open on the site plan.

MR. DAVIS: Correct.

MR. KESSLER: But we've already had public hearings on the site plan. What more public hearing is there to have without knowing what OASAS says in terms of your programming and how it may impact the site plan?

MR. DAVIS: Well, once again, we're

1	December 6, 2022
2	proceeding concurrently there. We've made
3	revisions to the site plans in connect- to the
4	extent relevant in your neg dec conditions.
5	MR. KESSLER: And you may be required to
6	make more.
7	MR. DAVIS: It's very unlikely.
8	MR. KESSLER: Don't, don't know.
9	MR. DAVIS: It's quite unlikely that
10	OASAS will be requiring us to move a swimming
11	pool here or there or a fence here or there.
12	MR. KESSLER: Or require you to have
13	additional programs, I don't know. And you don't
14	know either.
15	MR. DAVIS: Well, I do know that, that
16	there's nothing that they would require, given
17	the maximum number of people that are in the
18	conditions of the neg dec and that we've
19	stipulated to that should affect
20	MR. KESSLER: You don't know
21	MR. DAVIS: in any meaningful way
22	your site plan.
23	MR. KESSLER: As we talked about
24	earlier, you don't know that they would require

1	December 6, 2022
2	more family therapy sessions. You don't know
3	that. And don't say that you do.
4	MR. DAVIS: I don't know that precisely,
5	but again, I don't believe that we we've
6	conditioned our application to you on one session
7	a month for 25 percent of the people, a family
8	session.
9	MR. KESSLER: I understand that, and I'm
10	waiting to hear what OASAS says about that.
11	MR. DAVIS: I would respectfully
12	disagree with you. There's no, there's no reason
13	we can't start reviewing
14	MR. KESSLER: And I respectfully
15	disagree with you.
16	MR. DAVIS: the site plan issues.
17	MR. KESSLER: We
18	MR. DAVIS: You specifically
19	MR. KESSLER: we discussed site
20	plans, we've discussed lighting, we've discussed
21	buffers, we discussed all those things.
22	MR. DAVIS: We had this conversation,
23	Mr. Kessler and we stipulated to a condition that
24	you yourself

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. KESSLER: Right.
3	MR. DAVIS: discussed with me.
4	MR. KESSLER: And I'm waiting for that
5	information, and that's why I said I don't see
6	any
7	MR. DAVIS: Well concurrently means
8	concurrently, does it not.
9	MR. KESSLER: Concurrently means
10	concurrently, but concurrently also means you get
11	us additional information, which you haven't
12	gotten us.
13	MR. DAVIS: We're in the process of
14	providing it and we should have you additional
15	information for the January meeting.
16	MR. KESSLER: From OASAS?
17	MR. DAVIS: That's what we're expecting
18	yes.
19	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Because you said
20	you're initializing a meeting. Initializing means
21	you haven't met. Your words, not mine.
22	MR. DAVIS: That's correct. That's
23	correct.
24	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
	II

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. DAVIS: That's correct. But we
3	expect to do, to have that shortly and we expect
4	to have feedback from them shortly. We hope,
5	we're hopeful of having that for your January
6	10th meeting, which has been put off a week.
7	MR. KESSLER: That will be faster than
8	I've ever seen any state agency act.
9	MR. DAVIS: Well, it would be faster
10	than a lot of agencies we've dealt with.
11	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
12	MR. DAVIS: But
13	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
14	MR. DAVIS: we're proceeding
15	extremely diligently.
16	MR. KESSLER: This is mine. I'm not
17	making the motion.
18	MR. DAVIS: We'd like to be on your
19	agenda for the January 10th meeting.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Nora, can you do
21	MR. KESSLER: Can you state what date
22	will that information be available to us before
23	the meeting?
24	MR. DAVIS: I don't have a specific

1	December 6, 2022
2	date.
3	MR. KESSLER: Well, that's how we can
4	determine whether or not we should have a public
5	meeting. If we have that in advance of the
6	meeting, then maybe it would be a meaningful
7	public hearing. Can you commit to a date?
8	MR. DAVIS: We're certainly going to act
9	with all due diligence to get that information as
10	quickly as possible.
11	MR. KESSLER: The problem is if you say
12	public hearing, people are going to show up and
13	if you don't have the information, we're going to
14	be sitting here twiddling our thumbs.
15	MR. DAVIS: A public hearing can be
16	adjourned, as you've done in the past.
17	MR. KESSLER: I know, but people come to
18	speak and I, we're not going to say you can't
19	speak.
20	MR. DAVIS: Well, no, but it could be
21	adjourned what I'm saying is you know
22	MR. KESSLER: I know it can be adjourned
23	but people are going to speak and not have
24	MR DAVIS: But it can be adjourned in

1 December 6, 2022 advance is what I'm saying. If you feel you don't 2 any information at that point, it can be carried 3 4 on the agenda and adjourned on your website in 5 advance. MR. KESSLER: Chris, what day would you 6 7 need to know in order to basically adjourn it for the next month? 8 9 MR. KEHOE: Well, you know, we didn't 10 really settle this. I don't believe. It always 11 gets complicated at the end of the year, but if 12 we're having our meeting on Tuesday, the 10th, 13 our new schedule is the work session will be at 14 6:00 o'clock on the 10th. So I could get, I 15 usually would get the stuff the previous 16 Wednesday so I can get it to you guys that, so 17 you'd have it for the weekend, so whatever that 18 Wednesday is, the 4th or 5th. 19 MR. ROTHFEDER: But would that give you 20 enough time to alert the community that the 21

hearing is off?

MR. KESSLER: If you don't get the information.

22

2.3

24

MR. ROTHFEDER: If we don't get it.

2.3

MR. KEHOE: Well, yeah, I don't have any problem with the community. I mean there's a network. I have, you know, I work with a neighborhood association's attorney. We would keep them informed.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. Does that work for you?

MR. KEHOE: We've done that several times in the past. You know, decided sort of at the last minute mutually to not hold the public hearing.

MR. ROTHFEDER: So is that okay with you? Should we go on that basis?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Who was it that informs the community? Can I just say the community has been [unintelligible] [02:13:51] for almost a decade of coming to meetings, been very good and very respectful. If we can get the information in advance, we can actually go through it, and it's difficult for people to set up their schedules, especially when we have it [unintelligible] [02:14:07] and then [unintelligible] [02:14:08] canceled at the last

December 6, 2022

2

3

minute. I know for the community, it is much cleaner if we have the information ahead of time.

4

MR. BIANCHI: Maybe we should be looking

MR. DAVIS: Well, the board, the board

5

at February then, for a public meeting.

6

7

could be reviewing, continuing to review the site

8

plan without conducting the public hearing per se

9

as it has done with this application, actually

10

for years. That, that can be a process to help

11

move the matter forward, is that we can be

12

cleaning up the site elements that, that, you

13

know, are before you.

14

MR. KESSLER: What's changed that, from

MR. DAVIS: Not, not a lot, but we've

15

the last discussion we had on the site plan? The

16

last public hearings?

17

implemented, we have implemented the neg dec

18

implemented, we have implemented the neg dec

19

conditions that relate to the plan and that, that can certainly be reviewed at this point. We can

2021

work with staff, for example, to make sure that

22

those are in place. I know that there's a couple

2.3

of tweaks that I saw in reviewing the plans that

24

have to be made, not of great substance, but

1 December 6, 2022 2 there are some things that can be cleaned up. Well then, maybe we 3 MR. ROTHFEDER: should set the public hearing for February and --4 5 MS. TAYLOR: I think that's a good idea. MR. ROTHFEDER: And if you've got 6 7 something to report to us, Chris, for the next meeting, based on discussions with the applicant, 8 9 we can discuss it among ourselves. 10 MR. KEHOE: Well, you can keep it on 11 under old business and let's say the OASAS 12 information comes in, but it comes in late, you 13 would have it, and then it would be readily 14 available for the community in advance of the 15 February meeting. 16 MR. ROTHFEDER: Exactly. And we could 17 discuss it in January if we have it by then, but 18 [unintelligible] [02:15:45] the public hearing. 19 MR. KEHOE: but no matter what, even if 20 you have it, and you want to discuss it at the 21 January meeting, you would be holding a public 22 hearing in February. You wouldn't precluding the 2.3 public from having their opportunity.

Exactly.

MR. ROTHFEDER:

24

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. KESSLER: Right. And that's February
3	7th. Okay. So why don't we make a motion to bring
4	this back under old business at our next meeting
5	for an update on your discussions with OASAS and
6	reopen the public hearing on the site plan for
7	February 7th.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
9	MR. DAVIS: Okay. Could you tell me what
10	the date is in February, Chris?
11	MR. KESSLER: The 7th.
12	MR. DOUGLAS: The 7th, thank you.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Are you making the
14	motion or are you suggesting the motion?
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That was it.
16	MR. KESSLER: That was it.
17	MS. HILDINGER: He just actually made
18	the motion.
19	MR. ROTHFEDER: That was actually
20	MR. KESSLER: I'm sorry.
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: Anyway, second, I second
22	that.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Well, who made the motion?
24	Did you

	P_{α}
1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: He just made the motion.
3	MS. HILDINGER: He just made the motion.
4	MS. TAYLOR: I was asking, were you
5	suggesting it or are you making it?
6	MR. KESSLER: Right, I'm making it. I, I
7	
8	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. So you made the
9	motion.
10	MR. KESSLER: apologize.
11	MS. TAYLOR: It was seconded by Jeff.
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: I seconded it.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Yes, alright. On the
14	question? On the question? All those in favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay.
17	MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. Have a
18	nice night.
19	MR. BIANCHI: Alright.
20	MS. TAYLOR: The next item, actually the
21	final one at this point is PB 2019-5, the
22	application of Homeland Towers, LLC and New York
23	SMSA Limited Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless for
24	the property of Bezo Enterprises, LLC for site

2.3

December 6, 2022

development plan approval and a special permit

for a proposed public utility personal wireless

facility known as a telecommunications tower on a

portion of a six-acre parcel of property located

at 52 Montrose Station Road. Latest drawings

September 28, 2022. Alright. Before you begin,

let me just apologize in advance. I probably will

be leaving in the next 20 minutes. I need you to

know that this has nothing to do with you and

your presentation. I just have to leave early.

MR. MICHAEL SHERIDAN: I will not take it personally, Madam Chair and let me join the chorus saying it's been a pleasure to appear before you and I wish you the best of luck in the future.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you so much, I appreciate that.

MR. SHERIDAN: My name is Michael
Sheridan, I'm an attorney with Snyder & Snyder
here on behalf of Homeland Towers and New York
SMSA Limited Partnership dba Verizon Wireless.
Since we were last here, we submitted another set
of documents by a letter dated November 22nd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Included with those documents were reports from C Squared, Verizon Wireless' RF consultant as well as a report from Homeland Towers, detailing information that this board requested regarding additional locations and confirming that the proposed location is in fact the best location for the, for the tower to provide the necessary coverage to the existing gap.

As part of that letter, as requested by this board, we kind of went through and did a summary of all the, cursory summary of all the information again. I would refer this board to all the documents we submitted in connection with this application. But we went through a summary, which, you know, detailed that the proposed tower at 52 Montrose Station Road complies with the wireless law, doesn't require any variances, the need for it has been established. There's been a gap in coverage. We've shown that the proposed facility will fill that gap. As I said, we submitted additional reports confirming that there are no other locations that the facility could be located. In previous documents, we've

2.3

December 6, 2022

shown the visual aspect it, showing that it will be not visible from most locations in town. We've submitted a property valuation report from Lane Appraisals saying it will not result in a diminution of property values in the immediate area.

And in connection with concerns that were raised by neighbors about fire issues, we had, we submitted two letters from the local fire department as well as Westchester County confirming that it is not a fire safety concern and that it will enhance public safety because of the coverage that will be provided.

Tonight, we're looking to have this board, we're willing to answer any questions you may still have and we're looking to come back in January with a resolution prepared so that this board could vote at that time. If you have any questions, we'd be happy to answer them now.

MR. BIANCHI: There was a memo today from our attorney regarding the discussion we had at the work session concerning verification of coverage. I understand that you provided some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

language that, let me find it here, that indicated that we -- you would do a study or an analysis one year later and provide measure of the coverage that was in fact provided if this tower should be erected.

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, we've spoken and I think within I think the proposal is within one year after the receipt of the certificate of compliance from the town confirming that the tower can be operational, the applicants will provide this board information for your records so you can have it about the coverage that's being provided by the Verizon Wireless antennas that would be -- it wouldn't change anything regarding the special permit moving forward or put any additional requirements on the renewal of the special permit. It would just be a report to give you that information which I think was requested by Mr. Kessler indicating the coverage provided for your records.

MR. KESSLER: And the only thing I would say, you know, let's be clear perhaps in the resolution what we're comparing it to. You know,

2.3

let's pick the right map that they gave us. I have it in my mind, I don't have it in front of me, which one they gave us that says here is what will be delivered with this tower in this place and that's what it needs to be compared to. So let's make sure we specifically cite the point of comparison.

MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, I agree because I offered a change in the wording a little bit regarding your language. And I indicated that maybe what should be done is the study should be done and compare the results I should say, compared to what the maps that you already provided as to what you intended it to cover. Don't just do another study and say we covered this area. It's not useful unless you know what you're comparing it to.

MR. SHERIDAN: I mean we can provide the information and we can reference the maps previously I guess submitted.

MR. ROTHFEDER: You'd just do like an overlay. You know, something like that. Anything else?

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. KESSLER: Well, we still haven't
3	discussed what it looks like.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: Oh, yeah.
5	MR. KESSLER: If we were to approve
6	this, as Mike wrote to us earlier today.
7	MR. SHERIDAN: I mean if you haven't
8	made a decision on what it looks like, I mean we
9	have visuals we can show you again, the choices
10	that you can make if that's something that
11	MR. VINCENT XAVIER: I took the three
12	closest photos and simulation that we generated,
13	put them on a separate PowerPoint of slides and
14	blew them up with the three different options on
15	this. You know, we could love through the old
16	VRA, but I thought it may be simpler if we just
17	focus on the [unintelligible] [02:24:10].
18	MR. BIANCHI: Sure, yeah.
19	MR. KESSLER: Is that the only, is that
20	our last remaining issue?
21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That, right, and if you
22	want to have branches on it.
23	MR. KESSLER: Well that would be this
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's all, it's all the

	Dog 1/1
1	Page 14 December 6, 2022
2	visuals.
3	MR. KESSLER: Owls.
4	MR. SHERIDAN: And then I do want to,
5	just before I forget, just to make sure we're all
6	on the same page with the shot clock and
7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I was hoping you'd
8	bring that up.
9	MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, so I just want to
10	make sure we don't leave before mentioning that,
11	because it seems now your meeting
12	MR. CUNNINGHAM: IT seems like that has
13	to be changed to January 11th, given that the
14	meeting then got pushed back a week.
15	MR. SHERIDAN: Right. And I just want to
16	make sure, and that's also the 62 day requirement
17	to
18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Will be extended.
19	MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah.
20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: So, based on this
21	conversation, we'll count it as a
22	[unintelligible] [02:24:49] extension.
23	MR. SHERIDAN: I just want to make sure
24	it was, we're both on the same page.

1	Page 142 December 6, 2022
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, yeah.
3	MR. SHERIDAN: So extend it to the 11th,
4	which is one day after the meeting.
5	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
6	MR. SHERIDAN: That's fine, just in case
7	there's a snow storm or something, we can
8	MR. XAVIER: And it could be mutually
9	extended if
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Of course.
11	MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks.
12	MR. XAVIER: So what I did here, I took
13	the three closest viewpoints and I'm showing the
14	brown monopole and then the galvanized monopole
15	and then the pine design back to back so that
16	we'd be able to kind of flip through them
17	[unintelligible] [02:25:18] pole. If you go to
18	the top and go to like the slide show it'd be
19	easier.
20	MR. KEHOE: I've got a bar on my screen
21	from the Zoom that blocks all of the PowerPoint
22	stuff.
23	MR. XAVIER: [unintelligible]
24	[02:26:05].

2.3

MR. BIANCHI: Please speak into the microphone so our audience can hear it.

MR. XAVIER: Yeah, with Zoom, with having the block in it, it looks a little different than it would on a standard PowerPoint, but that's basically the three closest viewpoints from within the cul-de-sac there showing the most visibility so that we could have good comparison purposes.

MR. KEHOE: So that's -- what is that?

MR. XAVIER: This would be the brown monopole. You know, generally this works well when there's hills behind you and this kind of would blend into the hill and from some viewpoints, maybe it would be ideal. In my opinion, the brown doesn't work in this instance, because anywhere else that's far enough away, you don't see it anyway. So it would be my recommendation to either go with the galvanized which dulls over time and tends to blend into the sky a bit more, or the monopine design, which is next.

MR. BIANCHI: What are the pros and the

2.3

cons of that particular design?

MR. XAVIER: Of the monopine?

MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.

MS. TAYLOR: Unattractive.

MR. XAVIER: Well, the pros are you don't directly see the antennas, but, you know.

MR. KEHOE: Because that's important too, that you can't see the top, but you actually can more or less cover the antenna at the top with branches?

MR. XAVIER: Yeah, so what I do, and what I would actually recommend, because this is my town as well. As part of the resolution, if you require we do a monopine design, and my boss probably won't like that I just throw this out there, but I would recommend that you also impose a condition that all carriers' antennas must be painted dark green and covered with what we call socks, which are these little wraps that go around that have additional little branches on them which help really blend and break up the antenna. So there are other municipalities that have imposed that condition as well and I would

December 6, 2022
recommend that here
that you don't see

2.3

recommend that here. And that really does make it that you don't see a rectangle there regardless of what color it is, it helps to break up the shape of it a bit more.

And if it's a 140 foot tower, the top of the antenna is not going to go above 140. But for a monopine, the height of the tower would actually be 145, 146, if you wanted, because there's a topper that goes on to create the more tapered effect. We don't need to put that in, but that in, but having that additional top does actually help with the aesthetics of it, and I believe that, combined with coloring and painting the antennas together with those socks, really helps the antennas be less visible.

MR. KESSLER: I've got a question.

MR. XAVIER: Mm-hmm.

MR. KESSLER: You're required, if somebody comes to you and asks, you know, AT&T, to give them space on your pole, right?

MR. XAVIER: Correct.

MR. KESSLER: Below yours, obviously.

MR. XAVIER: But below Verizon, but I'm

1	December 6, 2022
2	Homeland, but yes.
3	MR. KESSLER: So what, in that, so how
4	do you then, do you have to take the tree limbs,
5	these fake tree limbs off and redo it?
6	MR. XAVIER: In that area around the
7	antenna, some of the, the
8	MR. KESSLER: Well, if somebody, if AT&T
9	says they want to go on your pole?
10	MR. XAVIER: Yeah. They would have to
11	take some of the branches off below it, install
12	their mount and their antennas, install the
13	branches back that they can, and then color match
14	and wrap the antennas with those socks to fill in
15	the gap that would otherwise exist.
16	MS. TAYLOR: I really, I'm going to just
17	express my opinion. I don't think that that's a
18	good idea.
19	MR. XAVIER: The tree?
20	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah. The tree.
21	MR. XAVIER: Well, personally, I, you
22	know, it's very subjective. Some people hate the
23	monopine. In some instances, I've liked it and
24	even people in my company haven't. Personally,

2.3

December 6, 2022

really the best one is the galvanized monopole because it's not painted, so it dulls over time, so it becomes less reflective with light. Second, it actually has less of a profile than a monopine, so it doesn't seem as wide. With the monopine, you're going to be sticking out another three feet from the antenna, so it does make it bulkier. But it really is subjective. And I don't want to, you know, it could go either way.

MR. BIANCHI: Let's ask our consultant.

Do you have any issues with these?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You know, we did talk about it in our tech memo. If you remember, the very original application was a lattice design, back in 2019. It turned into a monopole. So it's not often that we would recommend that a board even look at the stealth tree. Because they're not invisible, they're above the surrounding tree line. Walking into the reserve and coming out you do see the top of it, and I think from some other areas, lower topography looking around, I think the stealth tree has merits here. There are things such as branch

2.3

December 6, 2022

density and taper, texture and color, the socks, that's kind of a standard thing. A resolution is a little more intense here because you also have a monitoring program. So of course, a special use permit, if it's granted, it's a five year, but there's a little more monitor and replacement of branches, for example, that happen. And I just want to remind you also that you might not see a co-location at a lower level. That would be with DOTs, right, if an application came in by another carrier.

We heard in this application might have interest. They have to go for a building permit. But still the same type of construction issues could be assessed for a co-locator if and when. So there's more to work on here in a resolution for sure, but I think it has merits to look at it. I really do.

MS. TAYLOR: But what if, if you're going to maintain it because somebody has -- not maintain it. Let's say somebody comes and colocates on it, they've got to bring the branches and whatever the whole thing is called socks or

1

December 6, 2022

2

3

they bring something like socks, who puts them on there?

4

5

MR. XAVIER: No, the sock is just something that goes around each individual antenna themselves.

7

8

9

6

MS. TAYLOR: Yeah. So let's say they come and they make their, the changeover, with the green on the stuff that they've just put on will be the same as the green that was already there? I mean given weather and rain and snow, and whatever. Won't it call attention to itself if the branches that they put on are not, you know.

10111213

14

15

16

17

MR. XAVIER: This board and my town is definitely losing something by not having you.

I'm actually working with the town of Bedford

1819

tower. It's not a monopine, but the antennas and

right now on one. And they have an existing

20

things were meant to be painted, and over time, $\ensuremath{\mathbf{T}}$

21

the different carriers come on. They each painted

22

2.3

stands out more. It's the change in something

it a slightly different color brown. And it

24

that stands out more. So yeah, I would ask that

1	December 6, 2022
2	you, if we're going to pick a color green for the
3	antennas, for example, we mandate that we pick a
4	Sherwin-Williams color code and we make it that.
5	On the left side of this PowerPoint, I
6	have Sherwin-Williams thunder gray showing,
7	because generally we use that for the base, for
8	the tower itself when it comes to a monopine.
9	MR. KEHOE: Keep going?
10	MR. XAVIER: It's the very last slide.
11	It'll be obvious when you hit it. It's just going
12	to be a color.
13	MR. KEHOE: This one?
14	MR. XAVIER: No, no, all the way down.
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's a color swatch
16	probably.
17	MR. KEHOE: Oh.
18	MR. XAVIER: Yeah, that, thunder gray.
19	It's kind of hard in this, but it actually has a
20	little bit of brown to it. And most trees,
21	really, if you look at them, you know, as a kid
22	you painted it brown, but they're really not
23	brown. They're kind of like gray.
24	MS. TAYLOR: They're gray, yeah.

1 December 6, 2022

2.3

MR. XAVIER: So, what I would say is to that point, which is excellent, you know, mandate in your resolution a specific color they need to be painted. This way you have that consistency for however long the tower is up.

MS. TAYLOR: Until the company changes the color, so yeah.

MR. XAVIER: And Sherwin-Williams, yeah.

I think they try to maintain their color codes

pretty consistently, but I can't control that,

yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, an industrial matte finish. I mean there's a lot that would go into a resolution if you want preparation of it. I do want to note a stealth tree over in Clarkstown, near Nanuet, that I worked on. Branch density and taper, it's actually a pretty good example, a different setting altogether, more in a residential setting, but there was an upgrade by Verizon and the green socks went away for a week and a half and it was a stark white antennas, and I said oh boy, but within two weeks, they were back because that was part of

1	December 6, 2022
2	the resolution, doing an upgrade they restored
3	it. So there's a little more to it in order to
4	kind of capture all the thinking that you have
5	about it.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Any other comments,
7	questions, etc.?
8	MR. BIANCHI: I am on the fence with it,
9	but I see merits in the tree.
10	MS. TAYLOR: You do?
11	MR. KESSLER: You see what?
12	MR. BIANCHI: Merits in the tree design.
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: I do too.
14	MR. BIANCHI: To me like 55/45, it's a
15	little bit softer to look at. I don't know.
16	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, I think so also.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Really? Okay.
18	MR. BIANCHI: That's my opinion.
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Peter, do you have an
20	opinion?
21	MR. MCKINLEY: I'm formulating my
22	thoughts. I'll come back to you in a moment for
23	that.
24	MS. TAYLOR: Well, I don't know, since

1	December 6, 2022
2	there are no more comments or concerns at this
3	particular moment.
4	MR. SHERIDAN: So, I would only have
5	MS. TAYLOR: Yes? Okay. Well
6	MR. SHERIDAN: This is not the public
7	hearing has been closed.
8	MS. TAYLOR: I know. I know. Let me, let
9	him, let him just kind of make his point since we
10	are all kind of hung up on this. This is in
11	reference to
12	MR. SHERIDAN: Can I just make one more
13	point, I just want to make sure that the town
14	MS. TAYLOR: Sure you can, yeah, yeah.
15	MR. SHERIDAN: sorry, one more thing.
16	There used to be a tree pole in this town that
17	was taken down to be a monopole. I just want to
18	make sure that the town is aware of that, and
19	they don't have any issues, the staff doesn't
20	have any issues moving forward with it.
21	MS. TAYLOR: There was a tree taken,
22	what did you say?
23	MR. KEHOE: Scenic Drive, was it?
24	MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, I forget which one

1 December 6, 2022

2 it was.

2.3

MR. KEHOE: Well, yeah, as was mentioned at the beginning of this process, that old, I think that's older technology and our engineering department had problems with that. So, we would confirm that they're okay with maybe that the technology has improved with these branches. Some of the branches fell off that tower.

MS. TAYLOR: Oh, okay.

MR. KEHOE: So we want to make sure that they're content with the technology.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright.

MR. JOHN FEIN: I understand that this is not a public hearing, but as the parties, the town residents are going to be most impacted by this, having heard some of misunderstandings, misrepresentations and lapses at last Thursday's work session, I prepared a letter which I submitted to Mr. Kehoe to distribute to you folks, which he declined to distribute to you, that I, I expect would clarify some of the issues that you would need to think about before making a decision on this. There have been some lapses

1 December 6, 2022 2 in terms of --UNIDENTIFIED MALE: This is not a public 3 4 hearing. You're making it into a hearing. 5 MR. FEIN: Excuse me. I --6 MS. TAYLOR: I thought you were going to 7 make a simple comment because he's right. This is 8 not a public meeting. 9 MR. FEIN: Yeah, I'm, I'm trying to say 10 that I would like to have the opportunity as the 11 people who are most severely affected by this and 12 with this issue being --13 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: If we knew this was 14 going to be public comment, there would be a 15 whole lot more people here. 16 MR. FEIN: Excuse me, if I could just 17 finish, as this is the lowest priority in the 18 town code, it's incumbent upon you to thoroughly 19 make sure that before you decide on this site, 20 that it is indeed the only alternative. And I 21 presented alternatives which have not been looked 22 into and until they are, it's appropriate that 2.3 you're skeptical about whether Verizon will

provide the coverage that they claim they do

24

1	December 6, 2022
2	because
3	MR. KESSLER: What is your point of
4	information?
5	MR. FEIN: My point is
6	MR. KESSLER: You're standing up there,
7	you said a point of information, what is the
8	information concisely.
9	MR. FEIN: The information is I would
10	like you to be able to read, read this letter.
11	MR. KESSLER: But the letter came in
12	after the comment period, after the close of the
13	public hearing.
14	MR. FEIN: Because of the information
15	that we heard last Thursday at the, the work
16	session.
17	MR. KESSLER: The work session is a work
18	session. It's not a public, you know
19	MR. FEIN: I know. But the, you're
20	operating under some misapprehensions and lapses
21	of due diligence in terms of evaluating
22	alternative sites considering how serious
23	MR. KESSLER: You made that point at the
24	meetings, you made that point at the public

1	December 6, 2022
2	hearings. What you're saying now, you've said at
3	the public hearings, correct?
4	MR. FEIN: But, there
5	MR. KESSLER: And in fact you said to us
6	after the work session.
7	MR. FEIN: there are sites which
8	would solve the coverage issue without impacting
9	
10	MR. KESSLER: You've said that at the
11	public hearing, we all heard that and we're
12	taking that into account. We are.
13	MR. FEIN: Well, will you at least take
14	a
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Fein, Mr. Fein, we
16	can't accept that letter and Chris didn't do that
17	in a vacuum. He conferred with us, because if we
18	accept your letter, then as you know, there are a
19	lot of people also no, no, no, Mr. Fein, you
20	have to let me finish.
21	MR. FEIN: We are parties in this.
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Fein, you have
23	[unintelligible] [02:38:45]
24	MR. FEIN: We're not

1	December 6, 2022
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: So everyone in Valeria
3	thinks they're a party to this as well, and so
4	MR. FEIN: And, and, and we respect
5	their concerns.
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: we have, we have
7	both sides were, I know.
8	MR. FEIN: We think that their concerns
9	can be met. Coverage can be met.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Fein, you have to
11	let me speak. You have to let me speak.
12	MR. FEIN: Without, without destroying
13	this neighborhood
14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have to be able to
15	speak.
16	MR. FEIN: This isn't something the town
17	does to put in a residential area and industrial
18	complex.
19	MR. KESSLER: We've had multiple public
20	hearings on this and we closed it. And, and now
21	it's time for a deliberation. And that's the
22	point we're at.
23	MR. FEIN: Well, again, I feel that
24	you're not looking at all the possible

1	December 6, 2022
2	alternatives and, and with due diligence
3	MR. KESSLER: We and our consultant have
4	looked at the alternatives.
5	MR. FEIN: This is going to irreparably
6	affect
7	MR. KESSLER: And we got a report from
8	our consultants about the alternatives.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Tom
10	MR. KESSLER: So I think we've done our
11	due diligence.
12	MR. FEIN: Your consultant has not
13	looked into he's even indicated
14	MR. KESSLER: Okay. That's enough.
15	MR. FEIN: there are other sites.
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Mr. Fein, you have to
17	sit down now.
18	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah.
19	MR. KESSLER: That's it. That's it.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, please. Okay. Yes,
21	please.
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: Madam Chair, I move that
23	we have staff prepare a resolution of approval
24	for, for the next meeting, based on all the

1	December 6, 2022
2	discussion we've had and for an up and down vote
3	by the board.
4	MR. KESSLER: Second.
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: Up or down.
6	MR. KEHOE: So, so just to be clear,
7	obviously, there will be a resolution. No one is
8	decided tonight whether they're going to approve
9	that resolution or not, so you're not taking a
10	vote saying yes or no to the ultimate decision.
11	MR. ROTHFEDER: Correct.
12	MR. KEHOE: I will prepare a resolution.
13	MR. KESSLER: Okay. But I hope you and
14	our consultant will spend a lot of time together
15	on this.
16	MR. KEHOE: Oh, yeah.
17	MR. KESSLER: Okay. The conditions.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
19	MR. KESSLER: Second.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Did I hear a second? Okay.
21	Thank you. On the question?
22	MR. KEHOE: Hang on. Peter, do you have
23	anything to say?
24	MR. MCKINLEY: No, I have nothing to add

1	Page 161 December 6, 2022
2	at this time. Nothing further.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. All in favor?
4	MULTIPLE: Aye.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright.
6	MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you. And just to be
7	clear, the next meeting is January 10th
8	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
9	MR. SHERIDAN: and the shot clock and
10	everything is extended until January 11th?
11	MR. KEHOE: Yes, yes.
12	MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you very much. Good
13	night.
14	MR. KESSLER: Loretta?
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Loretta, you want to do
16	it for the last time?
17	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you.
18	MR. KESSLER: You may adjourn the
19	meeting.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Oh.
21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: You want to say we're
22	adjourned for the last time, Loretta?
23	MS. TAYLOR: We're adjourned. [laughter]
24	Okay.

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on December 6, 2022 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: December 29, 2022

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018